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#### Abstract

In this paper, the parametric quintic spline method is used for finding the solution of variational problems associated in engineering and physics. The present approximation reduce the problems to an explicit system of algebraic equations. Some numerical examples are also given to illustrate the accuracy and applicability of the presented method.
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## 1. Introduction

The need for an optimum function, rather than an optimal point, arises in numerous problems from a wide range of fields in engineering and physics, which include optimal control, transport phenomena, optics, elasticity, vibrations, statics and dynamics of solid bodies and navigation [1]. The calculus of variations and its extensions are devoted to finding the optimum function that gives the best value of the economic model and satisfies the constraints of a system. . In computer vision the calculus of variations has been applied to such problems as estimating optical flow [2] and shape from shading [3]. Several numerical methods for approximating the solution of problems in the calculus of variations are known. Galerkin method is used for solving variational problems in [4]. The Ritz method [5], usually based on the subspaces of kinematically admissible
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complete functions, is the most commonly used approach in direct methods of solving variational problems. Chen and Hsiao [6] introduced the Walsh series method to variational problems. Due to the nature of the Walsh functions, the solution obtained was piecewise constant. Some orthogonal polynomials are applied on variational problems to find the continuous solutions for these problems [7]-9]. A simple algorithm for solving variational problems via Bernstein orthonormal polynomials of degree six is proposed by Dixit et al. 10. Razzaghi et al. 11] applied a direct method for solving variational problems using Legendre wavelets. Chebyshev finite difference method has been employed for solving some problems in calculus of variations in [12].

Spline functions are special functions in the space of which approximate solutions of ordinary differential equations. In other words spline function is a piecewise polynomial satisfying certain conditions of continuity of the function and its derivatives. The applications of spline as approximating, interpolating and curve fitting functions have been very successful[13][16]. In [17, a non-polynomial spline technique has been developed for the numerical solutions of a system of fourth order boundary value problems associated with obstacle, unilateral and contact problems. Polynomial and non-polynomial spline functions based methods have been presented to find approximate solutions to second order boundary value problems [18]. Khan [19] used parametric cubic spline function to develop a numerical method, which is fourth order for a specific choice of the parameter. Parametric spline approach to the solution of a system of second-order boundary-value problems has been proposed by Khan et al. [20]. Rashidinia et al. [21]-22] used non-polynomial quintic spline method for the solution of a system of obstacle problems. Also Sinc-Galerkin method has been used for the solution of problems in calculus of variations in [23]. The main purpose of the present paper is to use parametric quintic spline method for numerical solution of boundary value problems which arise from problems of calculus of variations. The method consists of reducing the problem to a set of algebraic equations.

The outline of the paper is as follows. First, in Section 2 we introduce the problems in calculus of variations and explain their relations with boundary value problems. Section 3 outlines parametric quintic spline and basic equations that are necessary for the formulation of the discrete
system. Also in this section, we report our numerical results and demonstrate the efficiency and accuracy of the proposed numerical scheme by considering two numerical examples.

## 2. Statement of the problem

The genaral form of a variational problem is finding extremum of the functional
$J\left[u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right]=\int_{a}^{b} G\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t), u_{1}^{\prime}(t), u_{2}^{\prime}(t), \ldots, u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t$.
To find the extreme value of $J$, the boundary conditions of the admissible curves are known in the following form:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u_{i}(a)=\gamma_{i}, & & i=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
u_{i}(b) & =\delta_{i}, &  \tag{2.3}\\
i=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{array}
$$

The necessary condition for $u_{i}(t), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$ to extremize $J\left[u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right]$ is to satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations that is obtained by applying the well known procedure in the calculus of variation [5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{i}}-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{i}^{\prime}}\right)=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions given by Eqs. (2.2)-(2.3).
In this paper, we consider the spacial forms of the variational problem (2.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[u(t)]=\int_{a}^{b} G\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=\gamma, \quad u(b)=\delta, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left[u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right]=\int_{a}^{b} G\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), u_{1}^{\prime}(t), u_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}(a)=\gamma_{1}, & u_{1}(b)=\delta_{1}, \\
u_{2}(a)=\gamma_{2}, & u_{1}(b)=\delta_{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, for solving the variational problems (2.5), by using Euler Lagrange Eq. (2.4) we consider the second-order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial u}-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial u^{\prime}}\right)=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary condition (2.6). And also, for solving the variational problems (2.7), we find the solution of the system of second-order differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{i}}-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{i}^{\prime}}\right)=0, \quad i=1,2, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions (2.8)-(2.9). Therefore, by applying parametric quintic spline method for the Euler-Lagrange equations (2.10) and (2.11) we can obtain an approximate solution to the variational problems (2.5) and (2.7).

## 3. Parametric quintic spline method

Consider the partition $\Delta$ of $[a, b] \subset R$. Let $S_{k}(\Delta)$ denote the set of piecewise polynomials of degree $k$ on subinterval $I_{i}=\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right]$ of partition $\Delta$. In this work, we consider parametric quintic spline method for finding approximate solution of variational problems.

Consider the grid points $t_{i}$ on the interval $[a, b]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots, t_{n-1}<t_{n}=b,  \tag{3.1}\\
t_{i} & =t_{0}+i h, \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{3.2}\\
h & =\frac{b-a}{n}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer. Let $S_{\Delta}(t, \tau)(t)$ be quintic spline function of class $C^{4}[a, b]$ that interpolates $u(t)$ at the grid points $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$. Also,
$S_{\Delta}(t, \tau)$ depends on a parameter $\tau>0$ that is called a parametric spline function also, $S_{\Delta}(t, \tau)$ reduces to a ordinary quintic spline as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. By considering parametric quintic spline $S_{\Delta}(t, \tau)=S_{\Delta}(t)$, the spline function $S_{\Delta}(t)$ satisfies in the following equation:
(3.4) $S_{\Delta}^{(4)}(t)+\tau^{2} S_{\Delta}^{(2)}(t)=\left(S_{\Delta}^{(4)}\left(t_{i}\right)+\tau^{2} S_{\Delta}^{(2)}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\left[\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right]+\left(S_{\Delta}^{(4)}\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\tau^{2} S_{\Delta}^{(2)}\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right)\left[\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right]$,
where $t \in\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right], S_{\Delta}\left(t_{i}\right)=u\left(t_{i}\right)$, and $h=t_{i}-t_{i-1}$. The Eq.(3.4) is a inhomogeneous ordinary differential equation. We solve the Eq.(3.4) and obtain the constants of integration by using interpolation conditions at the endpoints of the interval $\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right]$, then we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
& S_{\Delta}(t)=\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right) u_{i}+\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right) u_{i-1}+\left(\frac{h^{2}}{3!}\right)\left[M_{i}\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right. \\
&+\left.M_{i-1}\left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)\right]+\left(\frac{h}{w}\right)^{4}\left[\frac{w^{2}}{3!}\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right. \\
&-\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)-\right.\left.\left.\frac{1}{\sin w}\left(\sin w\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right)\right] F_{i}+\left(\frac{h}{w}\right)^{4}\left[\frac { w ^ { 2 } } { 3 ! } \left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)^{3}-\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.(3.5) \quad\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)-\left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)-\frac{1}{\sin w}\left(\sin w\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)\right)\right] F_{i-1},
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{\Delta}\left(t_{i}\right)=u\left(t_{i}\right)=u_{i}, \quad S_{\Delta}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=M_{i} \\
S_{\Delta}^{(4)}\left(t_{i}\right)=F_{i}, \quad w=\tau h, \quad \tau>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

We use the continuity of first and third derivatives of spline function (3.5) at $t_{i}$, and obtain the following result:
$M_{i+1}+4 M_{i}+M_{i-1}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left(u_{i+1}-2 u_{i}+u_{i-1}\right)-6 h^{2}\left(\alpha_{1} F_{i+1}+2 \beta_{1} F_{i}+\alpha_{1} F_{i-1}\right)$,
$M_{i+1}-2 M_{i}+M_{i-1}=h^{2}\left(\alpha F_{i+1}+2 \beta F_{i}+\alpha F_{i-1}\right)$,
where

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =\frac{1}{w^{2}}(w \csc w-1), \quad \beta=\frac{1}{w^{2}}(1-w \cot w)  \tag{3.9}\\
\alpha_{1} & =\frac{1}{w^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{6}-\alpha\right), \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{1}{w^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\beta\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering Eqs. (3.7)-(3.8) and also some simple calculations, we can obtain the value of $F_{i}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i} & =\frac{1}{12 h^{2}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta-\alpha \beta_{1}\right)}\left[\left(\alpha+6 \alpha_{1}\right)\left(M_{i+1}+M_{i-1}\right)+\left(4 \alpha-12 \alpha_{1}\right) M_{i}\right. \\
(3.11) & \left.-\frac{6 \alpha}{h^{2}}\left(u_{i+1}-2 u_{i}+u_{i-1}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Having used Eq. (3.11) and replaced $F_{i-1}, F_{i}$ and $F_{i+1}$ in Eq. (3.8), the following result is obtained:

$$
p h^{2}\left(M_{i+2}+M_{i-2}\right)+h^{2} s M_{i}+h^{2} q\left(M_{i+1}+M_{i-1}\right)=\alpha\left(u_{i+2}+u_{i-2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2(\beta-\alpha)\left(u_{i+1}+u_{i-1}\right)+(2 \alpha-4 \beta) u_{i}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n-2 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$s=2\left[\frac{1}{6}(\alpha+4 \beta)+\left(\alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1}\right)\right], q=2\left[\frac{1}{6}(2 \alpha+\beta)-\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\right], \quad p=\frac{\alpha}{6}+\alpha_{1}$.
Remark. To study the convergence analysis,you can see [21]-22].
In order to illustrate the performance of the parametric quintic spline method, we present two examples. The observed maximum absolute errors are given in tables 1 and 2, also we have compared our computed results with the results obtained by others in [23].
Example 3.1. We first consider the following variational problem with the exact solution $u(t)=e^{3 t}$ in [12] and [23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min J=\int_{0}^{1}\left(u(t)+u^{\prime}(t)-4 e^{3 t}\right)^{2} d t \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=1, \quad u(1)=e^{3} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the Eq. (3.8), the Euler-Lagrange equation of this problem can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)-u(t)-8 e^{3 t}=0 . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the second-order differential equation (3.16) with boundary conditions (3.15) is approximated by the presented parametric spline method. For our purpose, we consider the boundary value problem (3.16) in general form as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=g(t) u(t)+f(t), \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(t)=1$ and $f(t)=8 e^{3 t}$. The exact solution of this problem is $u(t)=e^{3 t}$. For a numerical solution of the boundary-value problem (3.17), the interval $[0,1]$ is divided into a set of grid points with step size $h$. Setting $t=t_{i}=t_{0}+i h$, in Eq. (3.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=g\left(t_{i}\right) u\left(t_{i}\right)+f\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the assumption $S_{\Delta}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=M_{i}$ in (3.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}=g\left(t_{i}\right) u\left(t_{i}\right)+f\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $M_{i}$ as Eq. (3.19) into Eq.(3.12), we get

$$
\left(p h^{2} g_{i-2}-\alpha\right) u_{i-2}+\left(q h^{2} g_{i-1}-2(\beta-\alpha)\right) u_{i-1}+\left(h^{2} s g_{i}-(2 \alpha-4 \beta)\right) u_{i}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left(q h^{2} g_{i+1}-2(\beta-\alpha)\right) u_{i+1}+\left(p h^{2} g_{i+2}-\alpha\right) u_{i+2}= \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$-p h^{2}\left(f_{i+2}+f_{i-2}-h^{2} s f_{i}-h^{2} q\left(f_{i+1}+f_{i-1}\right)\right), \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n-2$.
where $u_{0}=1$, $u_{n}=e^{3}$. Using Taylor's series for Eq. (3.20), we can obtain local truncation error as follows:
$t_{i}=h^{4}\left[\frac{1}{6}(7 \alpha+\beta)-(4 p+q)\right] u_{i}^{(4)}+h^{6}\left[\frac{1}{180}(31 \alpha+\beta)-\frac{1}{12}(16 p+q)\right] u_{i}^{(6)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+h^{8}\left[\frac{1}{10080}(127 \alpha+\beta)-\frac{1}{360}(64 p+q)\right] u_{i}^{(8)}+O\left(h^{9}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (3.21), if $\alpha=\frac{1}{12}$ and $\beta=\frac{5}{12}$, the presented method is a six-order convergence method[21]. The linear system (3.20) consists of $(n-3)$ equations with $(n-1)$ unknowns $u_{i}, i=, 1, \ldots, n-1$. To obtain unique solution, we need two equations. For this purpose, we can use the following equations that arise from boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
4 u_{i-1}-7 u_{i}+2 u_{i+1}+u_{i+2} & =h^{2}\left[\frac{71}{240} u_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{43}{12} u_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{7}{8} u_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{3} u_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{5}{48} u_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{60} u_{i+4}^{\prime \prime}\right], \quad i=1,  \tag{3.22}\\
.22) & \\
4 u_{i+1}-7 u_{i}+2 u_{i-1}+u_{i-2} & =h^{2}\left[\frac{71}{240} u_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{43}{12} u_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{7}{8} u_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{3} u_{i-2}^{\prime \prime}\right.  \tag{3.23}\\
.23) \quad & \left.-\frac{5}{48} u_{i-3}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{60} u_{i-4}^{\prime \prime}\right], \quad i=n-1,
\end{align*}
$$

The local truncation errors $t_{i}, i=1, n-1$ corresponding $\alpha=\frac{1}{12}$ and $\beta=\frac{5}{12}$ are given by $t_{i}=\frac{7677}{544320} h^{8} u_{i}^{(8)}$.

Now, Eqs. (3.20), (3.22) and (3.23) yield ( $n-1$ ) equations with $(n-1)$ unknowns $u_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Solving this linear system, we obtain the approximations $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-1}$ of the solution $u(t)$ at the grid points $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n-1}$.

The errors are reported on the set of uniform grid points

$$
\begin{gather*}
S=\left\{a=t_{0}, \ldots, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}=b\right\} \\
t_{i}=t_{0}+i h, \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots, n, \quad h=\frac{b-a}{n} . \tag{3.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

The maximum error on the uniform grid points $S$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{u}(h)\right\|_{\infty}=\max _{0 \leq j \leq n}\left|u\left(t_{j}\right)-u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)\right|, \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u\left(t_{j}\right)$ is the exact solution of the given example, and $u_{j}$ is the computed solution by the parametric quintic spline method. The maximum absolute errors in numerical solution of the Example 3.1 are tabulated in table 1. From compared results with SGM [23] in Table 2 we conclude that our results show the efficiency and applicability of the presented method.

Table 1. $\left\|E_{u}(h)\right\|_{\infty}$ for Example 3.1.

| $n$ | Our method | Method in $[23]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $1.17518 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.47961 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 20 | $4.58948 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.39879 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 30 | $6.73661 \times 10^{-10}$ | $6.10976 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 40 | $1.40647 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.30248 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 50 | $3.96359 \times 10^{-11}$ | $6.92302 \times 10^{-8}$ |

Example 3.2. For the sake of comparison, we consider the following problem to find the extremals of the functional, discussed in [11] and [23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left[u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right]=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(u_{1}^{\prime 2}(t)+u_{2}^{\prime 2}(t)+2 u_{1}(t) u_{2}(t)\right) d t \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}(0)=0, & u_{1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=1 \\
u_{2}(0)=0, & u_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=-1 \tag{3.28}
\end{array}
$$

which has the exact solution given by $\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)=(\sin (t),-\sin (t))$. For this problem, the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)-u_{2}(t)=0  \tag{3.29}\\
u_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)-u_{1}(t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with boundary conditions(3.27) and (3.28).
In a similar manner and applying (3.4) and (3.5), we assume that functions $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ defined over the interval [ $0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ ] are approximated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(t) \simeq S_{1 \Delta}(t)=\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right) u_{1, i}+ \\
& h
\end{aligned}\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right) u_{1, i-1}+\left(\frac{h^{2}}{3!}\right)\left[M_{1, i}\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right. \\
&+\left.M_{1, i-1}\left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)\right]+\left(\frac{h}{w}\right)^{4}\left[\frac{w^{2}}{3!}\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right. \\
&-\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)-\right. \\
&\left.\left.\frac{1}{\sin w}\left(\sin w\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right)\right] F_{1, i}+\left(\frac{h}{w}\right)^{4}\left[\frac { w ^ { 2 } } { 3 ! } \left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)^{3}-\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)-\left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)-\frac{1}{\sin +}\left(\sin w\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)\right)\right] F_{1, i-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2}(t) \simeq S_{2 \Delta}(t)= & \left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right) u_{2, i}+\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right) u_{2, i-1}+\left(\frac{h^{2}}{3!}\right)\left[M_{2, i}\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right. \\
+ & \left.M_{2, i-1}\left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)\right]+\left(\frac{h}{w}\right)^{4}\left[\frac{w^{2}}{3!}\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right. \\
& -\left(\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{1}{\sin w}\left(\sin w\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{h}\right)\right)\right)\right] F_{2, i}+\left(\frac{h}{w}\right)^{4}\left[\frac { w ^ { 2 } } { 3 ! } \left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)^{3}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)-\left(\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)-\frac{1}{\sin w}\left(\sin w\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{h}\right)\right)\right)\right] F_{2, i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{j \Delta}\left(t_{i}\right)=u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right)=u_{j, i}, \quad j=1,2, \quad S_{j \Delta}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=M_{j, i}, \quad j=1,2 \\
& S_{j \Delta}^{(4)}\left(t_{i}\right)=F_{j, i}, \quad j=1,2, \quad w=h \sqrt{\tau} \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Having used the continuity of first and third derivatives of the spline functions $S_{1 \Delta}(t)$ and $S_{2 \Delta}(t)$, and substituted $t=t_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, where $t_{i}$ are uniform grid points, we obtain:
$F_{1, i}=\frac{1}{12 h^{2}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta-\alpha \beta_{1}\right)}\left[\left(\alpha+6 \alpha_{1}\right)\left(M_{1, i+1}+M_{1, i-1}\right)+\left(4 \alpha-12 \alpha_{1}\right) M_{1, i}\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.-\frac{6 \alpha}{h^{2}}\left(u_{1, i+1}-2 u_{1, i}+u_{1, i-1}\right)\right]  \tag{3.33}\\
F_{2, i} & =\frac{1}{12 h^{2}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta-\alpha \beta_{1}\right)}\left[\left(\alpha+6 \alpha_{1}\right)\left(M_{2, i+1}+M_{2, i-1}\right)+\left(4 \alpha-12 \alpha_{1}\right) M_{2, i}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\frac{6 \alpha}{h^{2}}\left(u_{2, i+1}-2 u_{2, i}+u_{2, i-1}\right)\right], \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently, we can obtain the following results:

$$
p h^{2}\left(M_{1, i+2}+M_{1, i-2}\right)+h^{2} s M_{1, i}+h^{2} q\left(M_{1, i+1}+M_{1, i-1}\right)=\alpha\left(u_{1, i+2}+u_{1, i-2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2(\beta-\alpha)\left(u_{1, i+1}+u_{1, i-1}\right)+(2 \alpha-4 \beta) u_{1, i}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n-2, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p h^{2}\left(M_{2, i+2}+M_{2, i-2}\right)+h^{2} s M_{2, i}+h^{2} q\left(M_{2, i+1}+M_{2, i-1}\right)=\alpha\left(u_{2, i+2}+u_{2, i-2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2(\beta-\alpha)\left(u_{2, i+1}+u_{2, i-1}\right)+(2 \alpha-4 \beta) u_{2, i}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n-2, \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \alpha_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are defined in (3.7)-(3.9). Now, consider the system (3.29) and substitute $t=t_{i}$, then we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1, i}^{\prime \prime}=u_{2, i}, \quad u_{2, i}^{\prime \prime}=u_{1, i} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering Eq. (3.37) and assumption (3.32), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1, i}=u_{2, i}, \quad M_{2, i}=u_{1, i} . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by using relations (3.35) - (3.38), we can write
(3.39)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p h^{2}\left(u_{2, i+2}+u_{2, i-2}\right)+h^{2} s u_{2, i}+h^{2} q\left(u_{2, i+1}+u_{2, i-1}\right)=\alpha\left(u_{1, i+2}+u_{1, i-2}\right) \\
+2(\beta-\alpha)\left(u_{1, i+1}+u_{1, i-1}\right)+(2 \alpha-4 \beta) u_{1, i}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n-2 \\
p h^{2}\left(u_{1, i+2}+u_{1, i-2}\right)+h^{2} s u_{1, i}+h^{2} q\left(u_{1, i+1}+u_{1, i-1}\right)=\alpha\left(u_{2, i+2}+u_{2, i-2}\right) \\
+2(\beta-\alpha)\left(u_{2, i+1}+u_{2, i-1}\right)+(2 \alpha-4 \beta) u_{2, i}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n-2
\end{array}\right.
$$

The system (3.39) contains $2(n-3)$ equations with $2(n-1)$ unknown coefficients $u_{j, i}, j=1,2, i=1,2 \ldots, n-1$. To obtain unique solution, we consider four equations from boundary conditions as:


The Eqs. (3.39)-(3.41) produce a linear system that contains $2 \times(n-$ 1) equations with $2 \times(n-1)$ unknown coefficients $u_{j, i}, j=1,2, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Solving this linear system, we can obtain the approximate solution of the system of second-order boundary value problems (3.29).

Suppose $\left\|E_{u_{1}}(h)\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\left\|E_{u_{2}}(h)\right\|_{\infty}$ be the maximum absolute errors. We solved Example 3.2 for different values of $n$. The maximum of absolute errors on the uniform grid points (3.24) are tabulated in Table 2. We compare the results with the SGM [23] applied to same equation. Table 2 exhibits the compared results.

Table 2. Our method for Example 3.2.

| $n$ | $\left\\|E_{u_{1}}(h)\right\\|_{\infty}$ | $\left\\|E_{u_{2}}(h)\right\\|_{\infty}$ | $\left\\|E_{u_{1}}(h)\right\\|_{\infty}-S G M[23]$ | $\left\\|E_{u_{2}}(h)\right\\|_{\infty}-S G M[23]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $6.70171 \times 10^{-10}$ | $6.70171 \times 10^{-10}$ | $2.72950 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.72950 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 20 | $7.07034 \times 10^{-12}$ | $7.07034 \times 10^{-12}$ | $8.69675 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.69675 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 30 | $8.10463 \times 10^{-13}$ | $8.10463 \times 10^{-13}$ | $5.65263 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.65263 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 40 | $1.55653 \times 10^{-13}$ | $1.55653 \times 10^{-13}$ | $5.47391 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.47391 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 50 | $4.21885 \times 10^{-14}$ | $4.21885 \times 10^{-14}$ | $6.93422 \times 10^{-9}$ | $6.93422 \times 10^{-9}$ |

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper parametric quintic spline method employed for finding the extremum of a functional over the specified domain. The main purpose is to find the solution of boundary value problems which arise from the variational problems. The parametric quintic spline method reduce the computation of boundary value problems to some algebraic equations. The proposed scheme is simple and computationally attractive. Applications are demonstrated through illustrative examples.
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