Journal of Hyperstructures 6 (Spec. 13th AHA) (2017), 56-71. ISSN: 2322-1666 print/2251-8436 online

INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY 2-ABSORBING IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

S.YAVUZ, S.ONAR, D.SONMEZ AND B.A.ERSOY

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to give a definition of an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal and an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of commutative rings and to give their properties. Moreover, we give a diagram of transition between definitions of intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideals of commutative rings.

Key Words: Intuitionistic Fuzzy 2-Absorbing Ideal, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Strongly 2-Absorbing Ideal, Intuitionistic Fuzzy K-2-Absorbing Ideal.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 08A72; Secondary: 03E72, 13A99.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zadeh [1] defined the notion of fuzzy subset and Rosenfeld [2] studied to apply fuzzy theory on algebraic structures. After that, various researchers studied about it. Liu [3] explained the concept of fuzzy ideal of a ring.

When it comes to intuitionistic fuzzy set theory, Atanassov [4] introduced the concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy set as a generalization of Zadeh's fuzzy sets. Moreover, Hur, Kang and Song [5] presented the notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy subring. Consequently, many researchers have tried to generalize the concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy subring. Marasdeh and Salleh [6] studied the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy rings based on the concept of fuzzy space. Besides, Sharma [7] explained the translates of intuitionistic fuzzy subrings.

Received: 17 October 2017. Communicated by Ali Taghavi;

^{*}Address correspondence to S. YAVUZ; E-mail: ssanemy@gmail.com.

^{© 2017} University of Mohaghegh Ardabili.

⁵⁶

As for 2-absorbing ideals, Badawi [8] introduced the concept of 2absorbing ideals, which is a generalization of prime ideals. Furthermore, Badawi studied [9] and [10] as well. Today, work on 2-absorbing ideal theory is developing rapidly and many other authors studied extensively on this theory.(e.g. [11], [12], [13]). Darani [14] explained and examined of *L*-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideals. Then, Darani and Hashempoor [15] investigated the concept of *L*- fuzzy 2-absorbing ideals in semiring.

The main purpose of this paper is to deal with algebraic structure of 2absorbing ideals by applying intuitionistic fuzzy set theory. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideals are introduced, their characterization and algebraic properties are investigated by giving some several examples. In addition to this, intuitionistic fuzzy strongly 2-absorbing ideals, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2-absorbing ideals, intuitionistic fuzzy K-2-absorbing ideals and their properties are introduced. Moreover, image and inverse image of these ideals are studied under ring homomorphism. Finally, a table transition between definitions of intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideals of a commutative ring are given.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, preliminary will be required to intuitionistic fuzzy 2absorbing ideals. First of all we give basic concepts of fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy set theory.

Throughout this paper R is a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ and L = [0, 1] stands for a complete lattice.

Definition 2.1. [14] A fuzzy subset μ in a set X is a function

$$u: X \to [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.2. [19] The intuitionistic fuzzy sets are defined on a nonempty set X as objects having the form

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), v(x) \rangle \, | x \in X \}$$

where the functions $\mu : X \to [0, 1]$ and $v : X \to [0, 1]$ denote the degrees of membership and of non- membership of each element $x \in X$ to set A, respectively, $0 \le \mu(x) + v(x) \le 1$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.3. [19] Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$. An intuitionistic fuzzy point, written as $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is defined to be an intuitionistic fuzzy subset of R, given by

$$x_{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \begin{cases} (\alpha,\beta), & \text{if } x = y\\ (0,1), & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

an intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is said to belong in intuitionistic fuzzy set $\langle \mu, v \rangle$ denoted by $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \langle \mu, v \rangle$ if $\mu(x) \ge \alpha$ and $v(x) \le \beta$ and we have for $x, y \in R$

 $\begin{aligned} i) \ x_{(t,s)} + y_{(\alpha,\beta)} &= (x+y)_{(t \land a, s \lor \beta)} \\ ii) \ x_{(t,s)} y_{(\alpha,\beta)} &= (xy)_{(t \land a, s \lor \beta)} \\ iii) \ \left\langle x_{(t,s)} \right\rangle \left\langle y_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right\rangle &= \left\langle x_{(t,s)} y_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$

Definition 2.4. [14] Let *I* be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a set *X* and $t, s \in L$ such that $t + s \leq 1$. Then, the set

$$I^{(t,s)} = \{x \in X \mid \mu_I(x) \ge t \text{ and } v_I(x) \le s\}$$

is called a (t, s) level subset of I.

Definition 2.5. [19] Let R be a ring. An intuitionistic fuzzy set

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), v(x) \rangle | x \in R \}$$

is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R if $\forall x, y \in R$

 $i) \ \mu(x-y) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(y),$ $ii) \ v(x-y) \le v(x) \lor v(y),$ $iii) \ \mu(xy) \ge \mu(x) \lor \mu(y),$ $iv) \ v(xy) \le v(x) \land v(y).$

Moreover, we will introduce intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal and 2absorbing ideal. Then, we will study intuitionistic fuzzy weakly prime and completely prime ideal. Finally, we will give intuitionistic fuzzy Kprime ideal.

Definition 2.6. [14] An intuitionistic fuzzy ideal $I = \langle \mu_I, v_I \rangle$ of R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal, if for any intuitionistic fuzzy ideals $A = \langle \mu_A, v_A \rangle$ and $B = \langle \mu_B, v_B \rangle$ of R the condition $AB \subset I$ implies that either $A \subset I$ or $B \subset I$.

Definition 2.7. [14] Let R be a commutative ring with identity. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a 2- absorbing provided that whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $abc \in I$ then either $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. Morever R is called a 2- absorbing ring if and only if its zero ideal is 2- absorbing.

3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy 2-Absorbing Ideals

In this section, we will define intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideals of commutative ring, then we will give some properties of these ideals. Throughout the section, R is a commutative ring with identity.

Definition 3.1. An intuitionistic fuzzy ideal $I = \langle \mu_I, v_I \rangle$ of R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy completely prime ideal, if for any intuitionistic fuzzy points $x_{(t,s)}$ and $y_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ such that $x_{(t,s)}y_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in I$ implies that $x_{(t,s)} \in$ I or $y_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in I$.

Definition 3.2. Let $I = \langle \mu_I, v_I \rangle$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. I is called an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of R if for any intuitionistic fuzzy points $x_{(a,b)}, y_{(c,d)}, z_{(e,f)}$ such that for all $x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \in I$ implies that

either
$$x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)} \in I$$
 or $y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \in I$ or $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \in I$

 $x, y, z \in R$ and $a, b, c, d, e, f \in L$.

Theorem 3.3. Every intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Example 3.4. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ and an intuitionistic fuzzy subset I in \mathbb{Z}_6 is defined as follows

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \{\overline{0}, \overline{3}\}\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } v(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \{\overline{0}, \overline{3}\}\\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then I is an intitionistic fuzzy prime ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal.

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.3 is not necessarily true.

Example 3.5. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ and an intuitionistic fuzzy subset I in \mathbb{Z}_6 is defined as follows

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1/3, & x \in \{\overline{0}, \overline{3}\}\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } v(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \{\overline{0}, \overline{3}\}\\ 1/3, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then for fuzzy points $\overline{3}_{2/5}, \overline{5}_{1/3} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$ such that $\overline{3}_{2/5}, \overline{5}_{1/3} \in \mu$ but $\overline{3}_{2/5} \notin \mu$ and $\overline{5}_{1/3} \notin \mu$. Therefore (μ, v) is not an intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal of \mathbb{Z}_6 . On the other hand, (μ, v) is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z}_6 .

Theorem 3.6. The intersection of distinct intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideals I and J of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal.

Proof. Let I and J are two distinct intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideals of R. Suppose that for any intuitionistic points $x_{(a,b)}, y_{(c,d)}, z_{(e,f)}, (x, y, z \in R)$ and $a, b, c, d, e, f \in I$, such that $x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \in I \cap J$ but $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \notin I \cap J$ and $x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)} \notin I \cap J$. $Case1 : x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)} \notin I$ and $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \notin I$. As I is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal of R, we have $z_{(e,f)} \in I$. Therefore, $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \in I$. Hence, this is a contradiction.

 $Case2: x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)} \notin I$ and $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \notin J$. In this case from,

$$x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \in I \cap J.$$

We get $z_{(e,f)} \in I$ and $y_{(c,d)} \in J.$ Hence, $y_{(c,d)} z_{(e,f)} \in I \cap J$.

 $Case3: x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)} \notin J$ and $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \notin I$. By a similar argument as in Case2 we may show that $y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \in I \cap J$.

 $Case4: x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)} \notin J$ and $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \notin J$. As similar argument as in Case1 leads us to a contradiction.

Therefore, $I \cap J$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R. \Box

Corollary 3.7. The intersection of every pair of distinct intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideals of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideals of R.

Theorem 3.8. Let $I = \langle \mu_I, v_I \rangle$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of R. Then, $I^{(t,s)}$ is a 2- absorbing ideal of R for every $t, s \in L$ with $I^{(t,s)} \neq R$.

Proof. Suppose that $a, b, c \in R$ such that $abc \in I^{(t,s)}$. Then, $\mu_I(abc) \geq t$ and $v_I(abc) \leq s$. Moreover $a_{(t,s)}b_{(t,s)}c_{(t,s)} = (abc)_{(t,s)} \in I$. Since I is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R,

$$a_{(t,s)}b_{(t,s)} \in I \text{ or } a_{(t,s)}c_{(t,s)} \in I \text{ or } b_{(t,s)}c_{(t,s)} \in I.$$

If $x_{(t,s)} \in I$ for some $x \in R$, then $\mu_I(x) \ge t$ and $v_I(x) \le s$. We have $x \in \mu_t$ and $x \in v_s$ such that $x \in I^{(t,s)}$. Hence, $ab \in I^{(t,s)}$ or $ac \in I^{(t,s)}$ or $bc \in I^{(t,s)}$. Thus, $I^{(t,s)}$ is a 2-absorbing ideal.

Corollary 3.9. If $I = \langle \mu_I, v_I \rangle$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of R, then

$$\mu_* = \{x \in R \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\} \text{ and } v_* = \{x \in R \mid v(x) = v(1)\}$$

is a 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Now, we will study image and inverse image of an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal with ring homomorphism.

Theorem 3.10. Let $f : R \to S$ be a surjective ring homomorphism. If I is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of R which is constant on ker f, then f(I) is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of S.

Proof. Assume that $x_{(r,k)}y_{(s,l)}z_{(t,m)} \in f(I)$, where $x_{(r,k)}, y_{(s,l)}, z_{(t,m)}$ are intuitionistic fuzzy points of S. Since f is a surjective ring homomorphism, then there exist $a, b, c \in R$ such that f(a) = x, f(b) = y, f(c) = z. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(r,k)}y_{(s,l)}z_{(t,m)}(xyz) &= ((r \land s \land t), (k \lor l \lor m)) \\ &\leq (f(\mu_I)(xyz), f(v_I)(xyz)) \\ &= (f(\mu_I)(f(a)f(b)f(c)), f(v_I)(f(a)f(b)f(c))) \\ &= (f(\mu_I)(f(abc)), f(v_I)(f(abc))) \\ &= (\mu_I(abc), v_I(abc)). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} r \wedge s \wedge t \leq \mu_I(abc) \\ a_r b_s c_t \in \mu_I \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} k \vee l \vee m \leq v_I(abc) \\ a_k b_l c_m \in v_I \end{cases} .$$

Since (μ_I, v_I) are constant on ker f, then we get $a_r b_s c_t \in \mu_I$ and $a_k b_l c_m \in v_I$. Because (μ_I, v_I) is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R, then

$$\begin{cases} a_r b_s \in \mu_I \\ \text{and} \\ a_k b_l \in v_I \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} b_s c_t \in \mu_I \\ \text{and} \\ b_l c_m \in v_I \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} a_r c_t \in \mu_I \\ \text{and} \\ a_k c_m \in v_I \end{cases}.$$

i) If $a_r b_s \in \mu_I$ and $a_k b_l \in v_I$, then

$$r \wedge s \le \mu_I(ab) = f(\mu_I)(f(ab)) = f(\mu_I)(f(a)f(b)) = f(\mu_I)(xy)$$

and

$$k \vee l \leq v_I(ab) = f(v_I)(f(ab)) = f(v_I)(f(a)f(b)) = f(v_I)(xy)$$

and so $x_r y_s \in f(\mu_I)$ and $x_k y_l \in f(v_I)$. Hence, $x_{(r,k)} y_{(s,l)} \in f(I)$.

ii) If $b_s c_t \in \mu_I$ and $b_l c_m \in v_I$, then proof is similarly that of (i).

iii) If $a_r c_t \in \mu_I$ and $a_k c_m \in v_I$, then proof is similarly that of (i). Hence, f(I) is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of S.

Theorem 3.11. Let $f : R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism. If I is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of S, then $f^{-1}(I)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)}z(e,f) \in f^{-1}(I)$ where $x_{(a,b)}, y_{(c,d)}, z(e,f)$ are intuitionistic fuzzy points of R. Then,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left(\left(a \wedge c \wedge e \right), \left(b \vee d \vee f \right) \right) & \leq & \left(f^{-1}(\mu_I) \left(xyz \right), f^{-1}(v_I)(xyz) \right) \\ & = & \left(\mu_I(f(xyz)), v_I(f(xyz)) \right) \\ & = & \left(\mu_I((f(x)f(y)f(z)), v_I(f(x)f(y)f(z))) \right) \end{array}$$

Take f(x) = r, f(y) = s, f(z) = t. We have that $(a \land c \land e) \leq \mu_I(rst)$ and $(b \lor d \lor f) \leq v_I(rst)$. Besides, $r_a s_c t_e \in \mu_I$ and $r_b s_d t_f \in v_I$. Since Iis an intuitionistic 2-absorbing ideal, we get

$$\begin{cases} r_a s_c \in \mu_I \\ \text{and} \\ r_b s_d \in v_I \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} r_a t_e \in \mu_I \\ \text{and} \\ r_b t_f \in v_I \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} s_c t_e \in \mu_I \\ \text{and} \\ s_d t_f \in v_I \end{cases}$$

i) If $r_a s_c \in \mu_I$ and $r_b s_d \in v_I$, then

$$a \wedge c \leq \mu_I(rs) = \mu_I(f(x)f(y)) = \mu_I(f(xy)) = f^{-1}(\mu_I(xy))$$

and

$$b \lor d \le v_I(rs) = v_I(f(x)f(y)) = v_I(f(xy)) = f^{-1}(v_I(xy))$$

and so $x_a y_c \in f^{-1}(\mu_I)$ and $x_b y_d \in f^{-1}(v_I)$. Hence $x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)}$

 $f^{-1}(I).$

ii) Similarly, $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \in f^{-1}(I)$.

iii) Similarly, $y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \in f^{-1}(I)$. Hence, $f^{-1}(I)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Finally, we will give definition of an intuitionistic fuzzy strongly 2aborbing ideal and two important theorem associated with this ideal.

Definition 3.12. Let I be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. I is called an intuitionistic fuzzy strongly 2- absorbing ideal of R if it is constant or

 $JKL \subseteq I$ implies that $JK \subseteq I$ or $JL \subseteq I$ or $KL \subseteq I$ for any intuitionistic fuzzy ideals J, K, L of R.

Theorem 3.13. Every intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy strongly 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Proof. The proof is straightforward.

 \in

Theorem 3.14. Every intuitionistic fuzzy strongly 2- absorbing ideal is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that I is an intuitionistic fuzzy strongly 2-absorbing ideal of R. Assume that $x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \in I$ for some intuitionistic fuzzy points. Then, we have

$$\langle x_{(a,b)} \rangle \langle y_{(c,d)} \rangle \langle z_{(e,f)} \rangle = \langle x_{(a,b)} y_{(c,d)} z_{(e,f)} \rangle \subseteq I.$$

Since, I is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal, we have

$$\langle x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)} \rangle = \langle x_{(a,b)} \rangle \langle y_{(c,d)} \rangle \subseteq I \text{ or} \langle x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \rangle = \langle x_{(a,b)} \rangle \langle z_{(e,f)} \rangle \subseteq I \text{ or} \langle y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \rangle = \langle y_{(c,d)} \rangle \langle z_{(e,f)} \rangle \subseteq I.$$

Therefore, $x_{(a,b)}y_{(c,d)} \in I$ or $y_{(c,d)}z_{(e,f)} \in I$ or $x_{(a,b)}z_{(e,f)} \in I$, that is I is an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R.

4. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Weakly Completely 2-Absorbing Ideals

In this section, we will define an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal and an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideals of R. Then we will prove some fundamental properties between these classes of ideals.

Definition 4.1. An intuitionistic fuzzy ideal $I = \langle \mu_I, v_I \rangle$ of R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely prime ideal, if for any $x, y \in R$ such that

$$I(xy) = \max\{I(x), I(y)\}, \text{ ie.} \\ \mu_I(xy) = \max\{\mu_I(x), \mu_I(y)\} \text{ and } v_I(xy) = \min\{v_I(x), v_I(y)\}.$$

Definition 4.2. Let $I = \langle \mu_I, v_I \rangle$ be a non-constant intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of a ring R. I is called an intuitionistic fuzzy K- prime ideal, if for any $x, y \in R$ such that

I(xy) = I(0) implies that I(x) = I(0) or I(y) = I(0).

Definition 4.3. Let *I* be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of *R*. *I* is called an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of *R* provided that for all $a, b, c \in R$,

 $I(abc) \leq I(ab)$ or $I(abc) \leq I(ac)$ or $I(abc) \leq I(bc)$ a $b \ c \in B$ is

for all $a, b, c \in R$, ie.

$$\left(\begin{cases} \mu(abc) \le \mu(ab) \\ and \\ v(abc) \ge v(ab) \end{cases} \right) \text{ or } \left(\begin{cases} \mu(abc) \le \mu(ac) \\ and \\ v(abc) \ge v(ac) \end{cases} \right) \text{ or } \left(\begin{cases} \mu(abc) \le \mu(bc) \\ and \\ v(abc) \ge v(bc) \end{cases} \right)$$

Corollary 4.4. Let I be a non-constant intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. It is easy to see that I is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2absorbing ideal of R if and only if

$$I(abc) = max\{I(ab), I(ac), I(bc)\}$$

for every $a, b, c \in R$, ie.

$$\mu(abc) = \max\{\mu(ab), \mu(ac), \mu(bc)\} \text{ and } v(abc) = \min\{v(ab), v(ac), v(bc)\}.$$

Definition 4.5. Let *I* be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of *R*. *I* is called an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideal of *R* provided that for all $a, b, c \in R$,

$$I(abc) = (0, 1)$$
 implies that $I(ab) = (0, 1)$ or $I(ac) = (0, 1)$ or $I(bc) = (0, 1)$ ie.

$$I(abc) = (0,1) = \left(\begin{cases} \mu(abc) = \mu(0) \\ and \\ v(abc) = v(1) \end{cases} \right) \text{ implies that } \left(\begin{cases} \mu(ab) = \mu(0) \\ and \\ v(ab) = v(1) \end{cases} \right) \\ \text{or } \left(\begin{cases} \mu(ac) = \mu(0) \\ and \\ v(ac) = v(1) \end{cases} \right) \text{ or } \left(\begin{cases} \mu(bc) = \mu(0) \\ and \\ v(bc) = v(1) \end{cases} \right) \right).$$

Corollary 4.6. Every intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideal.

But the converse of corollary is not true.

Example 4.7. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Define the intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of \mathbb{Z} by

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & x = 0\\ (1/3,2/3) & x \in 8\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}\\ (1/4,2/4) & x \in \mathbb{Z} - 8\mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

Then, I is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} . But since

 $\mu(40) = 1/3 > 1/4 = \max\{\mu(20), \mu(4), \mu(20)\},\$

I is not an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal.

Now, we will present some important theorems linked with intuitionistic these two ideals.

Theorem 4.8. Every intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely prime ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal.

Proof. Let I be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely prime ideal of R. For every $x, y, z \in R$,

$$\begin{cases} \mu_I(xyz) = \mu_I(x) \\ and \\ v_I(xyz) = v_I(x) \end{cases} or \begin{cases} \mu_I(xyz) = \mu_I(y) \\ and \\ v_I(xyz) = v_I(y) \end{cases} or \begin{cases} \mu_I(xyz) = \mu_I(z) \\ and \\ v_I(xyz) = v_I(z) \end{cases}.$$

Assume that $\mu_I(xyz) = \mu_I(x)$ and $v_I(xyz) = v_I(x)$. Then from,

$$\mu_I(xyz) \geq \mu_I(xy) \geq \mu_I(x)weget\mu_I(xyz) = \mu_I(xy)$$
 and

$$v_I(xyz) \leq v_I(xy) \geq v_I(x)wegetv_I(xyz) = v(xy).$$

In a similar way, we can show that

$$\mu_I(xyz) = \mu_I(yz) and v_I(xyz) = v_I(yz) \text{ or}$$

$$\mu_I(xyz) = \mu_I(xz) and v_I(xyz) = v_I(xz).$$

Hence, every intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely prime ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal.

Theorem 4.9. Every intuitionistic fuzzy K- prime ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideal.

Proof. The proof is clear.

Theorem 4.10. Let I be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. Then, following statements are equivalent:

i) I is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of R.

ii) For every $s, t \in L$, the level subset $I^{(t,s)}$ of I is a 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Proof. $(i \to ii)$: Assume that I is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2-absorbing ideal of R. For every $x, y, z \in R$ such that $xyz \in I^{(t,s)}$ for some $t, s \in L$.

$$\mu(xyz) = \max \{ \mu(xy), \mu(xz), \mu(yz) \} \ge t \text{ and} v(xyz) = \min \{ v(xy), v(xz), v(yz) \} \le s.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{cases} \mu(xy) \ge t \\ \text{and} \\ v(xy) \le s \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} \mu(xz) \ge t \\ \text{and} \\ v(xz) \le s \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} \mu(yz) \ge t \\ \text{and} \\ v(yz) \le s \end{cases}.$$

Therefore $xy \in I^{(t,s)}$ or $xz \in I^{(t,s)}$ or $yz \in I^{(t,s)}$. Hence, $I^{(t,s)}$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R.

 $(ii \to i)$: Assume that $I^{(t,s)}$ is an 2-absorbing ideal of R for every $t, s \in L$. For $x, y, z \in R$, set $\mu(xyz) = t$ and v(xyz) = s. Then, $xyz \in \mu_t$ and $xyz \in v_s$ and (μ_t, v_s) are 2- absorbing gives that $xy \in \mu_t$ and $xy \in v_s$ or $xz \in \mu_t$ and $xz \in v_s$ or $yz \in \mu_t$ and $yz \in v_s$. Thus,

$$\begin{cases} \mu(xy) \ge t \\ \text{and} \\ v(xy) \le s \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} \mu(xz) \ge t \\ \text{and} \\ v(xz) \le s \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} \mu(yz) \ge t \\ \text{and} \\ v(yz) \le s \end{cases}.$$

That is,

$$\begin{split} \mu(xyz) &= t \leq \max \left\{ \mu(xy), \mu(xz), \mu(yz) \right\} \text{ and } \\ v(xyz) &= s \geq \min \left\{ \mu(xy), \mu(xz), \mu(yz) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Also I is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu(xyz) & \geq & \max\left\{\mu(xy), \mu(xz), \mu(yz)\right\} \text{ and} \\ v(xyz) & \leq & \min\left\{\mu(xy), \mu(xz), \mu(yz)\right\}. \end{array}$$

Hence,

$$\mu(xyz) = \max \{ \mu(xy), \mu(xz), \mu(yz) \} \text{ and } \\ v(xyz) = \min \{ \mu(xy), \mu(xz), \mu(yz) \}.$$

Therefore, I is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2-absorbing ideal of R.

Finally, we will study image and inverse image of an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2-absorbing ideal and an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2-absorbing ideal.

Theorem 4.11. Let $f : R \to S$ be a surjective ring homomorphism. If $I = \langle \mu, v \rangle$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of R which is constant on ker f, then f(I) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of S.

Proof. Suppose that $f(\mu)(xyz) \neq f(\mu)(xy)$ and $f(v)(xyz) \neq f(v)(xy)$ for any $x, y, z \in S$. Since f is a surjective ring homomorphism, then f(a) = x, f(b) = y, f(c) = z for some $a, b, c \in R$. Hence,

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(\mu)(xyz) &=& f(\mu)(f(a)f(b)f(c)) = f(\mu)(f(abc)) \\ &\neq& f(\mu)(xy) = f(\mu)(f(a)f(b)) = f(\mu)(f(ab)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(v)(xyz) &= f(v)(f(a)f(b)f(c)) = f(v)(f(abc)) \\ &\neq f(v)(xy) = f(v)(f(a)f(b)) = f(v)(f(ab)). \end{aligned}$$

Since I is constant on ker f,

$$f(\mu)(f(abc)) = \mu(abc)$$
 and $f(\mu)(f(ab)) = \mu(ab)$.

Similarly,

$$f(v)(f(abc)) = v(abc)$$
 and $f(v)(f(ab)) = v(ab)$.

It means that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mu)(f(abc)) &= \mu(abc) \neq \mu(ab) = f(\mu)(f(ab)) \text{ and} \\ f(v)(f(abc)) &= v(abc) \neq v(ab) = f(v)(f(ab)). \end{aligned}$$

Since I is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2-absorbing ideal of R, then

$$\begin{split} \mu(abc) &= f(\mu)(f(a)f(b)f(c)) = f(\mu)(xyz) \\ &= \mu(abc) = f(\mu)(f(ac)) = f(\mu)(f(a)f(c)) = f(\mu)(xz). \end{split}$$

So, we get $f(\mu)(xyz) = f(\mu)(xz)$. And similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} v(abc) &= f(v)(f(a)f(b)f(c)) = f(v)(xyz) \\ &= v(abc) = f(v)(f(ac)) = f(v)(f(a)f(c)) = f(v)(xz). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have f(v)(xyz) = f(v)(xz) or

$$\begin{split} \mu(abc) &= f(\mu)(f(a)f(b)f(c)) = f(\mu)(xyz) \\ &= \mu(abc) = f(\mu)(f(bc)) = f(\mu)(f(b)f(c)) = f(\mu)(yz). \end{split}$$

Thus $f(\mu)(xyz) = f(\mu)(yz)$. And similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} v(abc) &= f(v)(f(a)f(b)f(c)) = f(v)(xyz) \\ &= v(abc) = f(v)(f(bc)) = f(v)(f(b)f(c)) = f(v)(yz). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that f(v)(xyz) = f(v)(yz). Therefore, f(I) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of S.

Theorem 4.12. Let $f : R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism. If $I = \langle \mu, v \rangle$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of S, then $f^{-1}(I)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $f^{-1}(\mu)(xyz) \neq f^{-1}(\mu)(xy)$ and $f^{-1}(v)(xyz) \neq f^{-1}(v)(xy)$ for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mu)(xyz) &= & \mu(f(xyz)) = \mu(f(x)f(y)f(z)) \\ &\neq & f^{-1}(\mu)(xy) = \mu(f(xy)) = \mu(f(x)f(y)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(v)(xyz) &= v(f(xyz)) = v(f(x)f(y)f(z)) \\ &\neq f^{-1}(v)(xy) = v(f(xy)) = v(f(x)f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Since I is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2-absorbing ideal of S we have that

$$\begin{split} \mu(f(x)f(y)f(z)) &= f^{-1}(\mu)(xyz) \\ &= \mu(f(x)f(z)) = \mu(f(xz)) \\ &= f^{-1}(\mu)(xz) \end{split}$$

and

$$v(f(x)f(y)f(z)) = f^{-1}(v)(xyz)$$

= $v(f(x)f(z)) = v(f(xz))$
= $f^{-1}(v)(xz)$

or

$$\begin{split} \mu(f(x)f(y)f(z)) &= f^{-1}(\mu)(xyz) \\ &= \mu(f(y)f(z)) = \mu(f(yz)) \\ &= f^{-1}(\mu)(yz) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v(f(x)f(y)f(z)) &= f^{-1}(v)(xyz) \\ &= v(f(y)f(z)) = v(f(yz)) \\ &= f^{-1}(v)(yz). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f^{-1}(I)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely 2- absorbing ideal of R.

Theorem 4.13. Let $f : R \to S$ be a surjective ring homomorphism. If $I = \langle \mu, v \rangle$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideal of R which is constant on ker f, then f(I) is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideal of S.

Proof. Proof is straightforward.

Theorem 4.14. Let $f : R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism. If $I = \langle \mu, v \rangle$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideal of S, then $f^{-1}(I)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2- absorbing ideal of R.

Proof. Proof is straightforward.

Remark 4.15. The following table summarizes findings of intuitionistic fuzzy 2- absorbing ideals.

i.f.strongly 2-abs. ideal \nearrow \downarrow i.f. prime ideal \longrightarrow i.f. 2-abs. ideal \downarrow \downarrow i.f.w.c. prime ideal \longrightarrow i.f.w.c. 2-abs. ideal \downarrow i.f. K-2-abs. ideal.

5. Conclusion

In this work, the theoretical point of view of intuitionistic fuzzy 2-absorbing ideals is discussed. The work also is focused on an intuitionistic fuzzy strongly 2-absorbing ideal, an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly completely prime ideal, an intuitionistic fuzzy K-2-absorbing ideal of commutative ring and characterized their algebraic properties. Furthermore, under a ring homomorphism, these ideals are investigated. In order to extend this study, one could study other algebraic structures and do some further study on properties them.

6. References

[1] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.

[2] A.Rossenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 35 (1971), 512-517.

[3] W.J.Liu, Operation on fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 11 (1983), 31-41.

[4] K.Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986), 87-96.

[5] K.Hur, H.W.Kang and H.K.Song, Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and subrings, Honam Math J., 25 (2003), 19-41.

[6] M.F.Marashdeh, A.R.Salleh, Intuitionistic fuzzy rings, International Journal of Algebra, 5 (2011), 37-47. [7] P.K.Sharma, Translates of intuitionistic fuzzy subring, Internaational Review of Fuzzy Mathematics, 6 (2), (2011), 77-84.

[8] A.Badavi, On 2- absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 75 (2007), 417-429.

[9] D.F.Anderson and A.Badavi, On n- absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Comm. Algebra, 39 (2011), 1646-1672.

[10] A.Badavi, U.Tekir, E.Yetkin, On 2- absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 51 (2014), 1163-1173.

[11] A.Badawi and A.Y.Darani, On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Houston Math, 39 (2013), 441-452.

[12] A.Y.Darani and E.R.Puczylowski, On 2-absorbing commutative semigroups and their applications to rings, Semigroup Forum, 86 (2013), 83-91.

[13] P.Kumar, M.K.Dubey and P.Sarohe, Some results on 2-absorbing ideals in commutative semirings, J.Math and Application, 38 (2015), 77-84.

[14] A.Y.Darani, On L- fuzzy 2- absorbing ideals, Italian J. of Pure and Appl. Math., 36 (2016), 147-154.

[15] A.Y.Darani, A. Hashempoor, L-fuzzy 0-(1-or 2-or 3-) 2-absorbing ideals in semiring, Annals of Fuzzy Math. and Inform., 7 (2014), 303-311.

[16] D.S.Malik and J.N.Mordeson, Fuzzy Commutative Algebra, World Scientific Publishing, (1998).

[17] D.S.Malik and J.N.Mordeson, Fuzzy Prime Ideals of a ring, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 37 (1990), 93-98.

[18] D.S.Malik and J.N.Mordeson, Fuzzy relations on rings and groups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 43 (1991), 117-123.

[19] I.Bakhadach, S.Melliani, M.Oukessou and L.S.Chadli, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal in aring, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (ICIFSTA), 22 (2) (2016), 59-63.

Sanem YAVUZ

Department of Mathematics, Yıldız Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey Email: ssanemy@gmail.com

Serkan ONAR

Department of Mathematics, Yıldız Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey Email: serkan10ar@gmail.com

Deniz SÖNMEZ

Department of Mathematics, Yıldız Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

Email: dnzguel@hotmail.com

Bayram Ali ERSOY

Department of Mathematics, Yıldız Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey Email: ersoya@gmail.com