



Research Paper

GAMMA VARIANT OF (p, q) - BERNSTEIN TYPE NOVEL OPERATORSNARENDRA KUMAR KURRE ^{1,*} AND PREMLATA VERMA ²¹Department of Mathematics, Government Bilasa P.G. Girls College, Chhattisgarh, India, kurrenk1982@gmail.com²Department of Mathematics, Swami Atmanand Government College, Chhattisgarh, India, verma.premlata@yahoo.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 25 August 2024

Accepted: 30 January 2025

Communicated by Hoger Ghahramani

Keywords:

Bernstein operators

 (p, q) -Bernstein operators

Rate of convergence

Voronovskaja theorem.

MSC:

41A10; 41A25; 41A35; 41A36.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we are concerned with a new modification of the well-known (p, q) -Bernstein novel type operators with the gamma integral functions. The direct results demonstrate several aspects of approximations. Such as the rate of convergence theorem using Peetre's K -functional and Korovkin's theorem, which also validates the well-known Voronovskaja's theorem and the convergence theorem for Lipschitz continuous functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Bernstein polynomial on the closed interval $[0, 1]$ is a fascinating and well-known polynomial introduced in 1912 by S.N. Bernstein [3]. Such as

$$B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

where

$$b_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}.$$

*Address correspondence to Narendra Kumar Kurre ; Department of Mathematics, Government Bilasa P.G. Girls College, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India, E-mail: kurrenk1982@gmail.com

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s). Published by University of Mohaghegh Ardabili.

and when $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$

q -Bernstein polynomials for $f \in C[0, 1]$ proposed by G.M. Phillips [19].

$$B_{n,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(q; x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

where

$$b_{n,k}(q; x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)_q^{n-k}.$$

In addition to the novel modification of the q -Bernstein operators and (p, q) -integers on those operators with their limit and the Voronovskaja approximation with some properties, for $0 < q < p \leq 1$ for all $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $x \in [0, 1]$ in ([6],[10],[11]), such that

$$B_{n,p,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p^{\{k(k-1)-n(n-1)\}/2} \binom{n}{k}_{p,q} x^k (1-x)_{p,q}^{n-k} f\left(p^n \left(\frac{[k]}{[n]}\right)_{p,q}\right),$$

$\forall n \in N$ and if $p = 1$ and $0 < q < 1$, then bring to the point of q -Bernstein operator.

$$B_{n,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_{p,q} x^k (1-x)_{p,q}^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

A new generalization with copious variations for those operators, like the (p, q) -Bernstein and (p, q) - Durrmeyer operators in 2009 By Gupta and in 2015 was forwarded by Mursaleen et al.([13],[18]). Some approximation properties for q -integers and (p, q) -integers with the convexity of functions offered by Gupta ([12],[15],[16]). A new modification of the Narayana operators using (k, t) bivariate with (p, q) generalized Bernstein operators and their applications in 2024 proposed by Bala and Mishra [1]. New Bernstein-type operators based on beta-modification with a graphical depiction of the newly created operators for $f \in C([0, 1])$ are defined as Beta Bernstein operators. For $x \in [0, 1]$, and $\beta_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ was presented by Dhawal J.et al.[7], such as

$$\beta_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n,k}(x) f(k/n) dt$$

where

$$P_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{\beta(nx + k + 1, 2n - k - nx + 1)}{\beta(nx + 1, n - nx + 1)}.$$

at here $\beta(a, b)$ is beta function and defined as

$$\beta(a, b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt,$$

where $(a, b) \geq 0$.

The summation integral formula provided by J.L.Durrmeyer furnished for additional generalizations of Bernstein operators in [9], including as

$$D_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt.$$

The famous Szasz Mirakayan operator in [17] such as :

$$S_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) f(k/n) dt$$

where $S_{n,k}(x) = e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}$. Furthermore, Baskakov introduced an operator known as the Baskakov operator, which is applicable to continuous functions. There are defined examples for $x \in [0, \infty)$, such as $V_n : C[0, \infty) \rightarrow C[0, \infty)$ in [2].

$$V_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{n,k}(x) f(k/n),$$

where $v_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}}$.

There has been a great deal of generalization of Bernstein-type operators available to academics. In 2016 the (p, q) Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators with beta integral using some moments where for each $n \in N$ and $f \in C[0, 1]$ Honey Sharma [20].

$$D_n^{p,q}(f; x) = [n + 1]_{p,q} p^{-n^2} \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}^{p,q}(x) \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{-k} \int_0^1 b_{n,k}^{p,q}(qt) f(t) d_{p,q}t,$$

for $p > 1$ then (p, q) -Bernstein Durrmeyer operators with beta integral.

Definition 1.1. ([20]). If $0 < p < q \leq 1$ and for every $s, t \in R^+$, then the (p, q) -beta integral is

$$\beta_{p,q}(t, s) = \int_0^1 x^{t-1} (1 - qx)_{p,q}^{s-1} d_{p,q}(x)$$

and proposed a connection between q -beta and (p, q) -beta integrals.

Let $0 < p < q \leq 1$ then (p, q) integer $[n]_{p,q}!$ such as

$$[n]_{p,q}! = \frac{p^n - q^n}{p - q}$$

,

$$[n]_{p,q}! = [1]_{p,q}, [2]_{p,q}, \dots, [n]_{p,q}.$$

If for every $n \geq 1$ and $[n]_{p,q}! = 1$ if $n = 0$ for special case with integers $0 \leq k \leq n$ such as

$$\binom{n}{k}_{p,q} = \frac{[n]_{p,q}!}{[k]_{p,q}! [n - k]_{p,q}!}$$

and expansion of (p, q) -polynomials is

$$(x + y)_{p,q}^n = (x + y) \{ (px + qy)(p^2x + q^2y) \dots (p^{n-1}x + q^{n-1}y) \}.$$

If $f : [0, a] \rightarrow R$ then integration of $f(x)$ is defined by

$$\int_0^a f(x) d_{p,q}(x) = (p - q)a \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{p^{k+1}} f\left(\frac{q^k}{p^{k+1}}a\right)$$

when $|\frac{q}{p}| > 1$

In 2022 by Cai et al. dealt with the new generalization of beta Bernstein with test functions, uniform convergence, the Peetre K-functional, and functions of the Lipschitz class [5], such as

$$\tilde{B}_m(K : x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p=0}^m \binom{m}{p} (p - mx)^2 x^{p-1} (1 - x)^{m-p-1} \frac{1}{\beta(p + 1, m - p + 1)} \int_0^1 u^p (1 - u)^{m-p} K(u) du.$$

for every $x \in (0, 1)$, $m \in N$ and $\beta(p + 1, m - p + 1)$ is a beta function and if $r, s > 0$ then

$$\beta_{r,s} = \int_0^1 x^{r-1} (1 - x)^{s-1} dx$$

Numerous mathematicians have proposed several Bernstein generalizations after studying a new hybrid method for data analysis suggested by Vajargah and Nouraldin [4]. We provide a new variation of the (p,q)-Bernstein operators defined by a gamma generalization.

$$B_n^{p,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}^{p,q}(f, x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)_{p,q} \tag{1}$$

where

$$b_{n,k}^{p,q}(f; x) = q^k \binom{n}{k}_{p,q} p^n e^{-nx} [nx]^k,$$

If for every $n \in N$ and $p = 1, 0 < q < 1$, then the above equation reduces to the q -Bernstein operator.

$$b_{n,k}^q(f; x) = q^k \binom{n}{k}_q e^{-nx} [nx]^k, \tag{2}$$

In the paper, we gave all estimates according to equation (2) because it's a special case of well-known Bernstein operators. In 2024 a novel Stancu-type adaptation of Bernstein-Kantorovich bivariate operators with an exponential class was created. They also provided some well-known theorems and approximation properties by Kanat and Su [21].

2. MAIN RESULTS

MOMENTS OF THE (P,Q)-BERNSTEIN OPERATORS

We now infer a few moments of those altered operators.

Lemma 2.1. *If $e_i(t) = t^i, i = 0, 1, 2$ and for $x \in [0, \infty]$ and $n \in N$ then*

- $B_n^{p,q}(e_0; x) \equiv B_n(t^0, x) = B_n(1, x) = e^{-nx}$
- $B_n^{p,q}(e_1; x) \equiv B_n(t^1, x) = B_n(t, x) = qxe^{-nx}$
- $B_n^{p,q}(e_2; x) \equiv B_n(t^2, x) = e^{-nx}qx\left(\frac{1}{n} + qxn(n - 1)\right)$

Proof. Let $i = 0$ in the above statement then we get with using the equations (1) and (2) where

$$b_{n,k}^{p,q}(f; x) = q^0 \binom{n}{0}_{p,q} e^{-nx} [nx]^0 = e^{-nx},$$

$$B_n(t^0, x) = B_n(1, x) = e^{-nx}$$

And

$$B_n(t^1, x) = B_n(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(f, x) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right) =$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}^{p,q}(f; x) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{n,k}^{p,q}(f; x) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right) =$$

$$q^0 \binom{n}{0}_{p,q} e^{-nx} [nx]^0 \left(\frac{0}{n}\right) + q^1 \binom{n}{1}_{p,q} e^{-nx} [nx]^1 \frac{1}{n} = qxe^{-nx},$$

$$B_n(t, x) = qxe^{-nx}$$

The next moment is the, where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n(t^2, x) &= B_n(t^2, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(f, x) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}^{p,q}(f; x) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{n,k}^{p,q}(f; x) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=2}^n b_{n,k}^{p,q}(f; x) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \\
&= q^0 \binom{n}{0}_{p,q} e^{-nx} [nx]^0 \left(\frac{0}{n}\right)^2 + q^1 \binom{n}{1}_{p,q} e^{-nx} [nx]^1 \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 + q^2 \binom{n}{2}_{p,q} e^{-nx} [nx]^2 \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^2 \\
&= qnx e^{-nx} \left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) + q^2 \frac{n(n-1)}{2} (e^{-nx}) [nx]^2 \left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n(t^2, x) &= e^{-nx} \left(\frac{qx}{n}\right) + q^2 e^{-nx} n(n-1) \\
&= e^{-nx} qx \left(\frac{1}{n} + qxn(n-1)\right). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

2.1. Central moments of above Bernstein operators.

Lemma 2.2. *If $x \in [0, 1]$ and for $0 < q < p \leq 1$, using the above moments of the lemma, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad B_n(t - x; x) &= e^{-nx} x(q - 1) \\
(2) \quad B_n((t - x)^2; x) &= e^{-nx} x \left(\frac{q}{n} + n(n-1)xq^2 - 2xq + x\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad B_n(t - x; x) &= B_n(t, x) - xB_n(1, x) = e^{-nx} qx - e^{-nx} x \\
&= e^{-nx} x(q - 1) \\
(2) \quad B_n((t - x)^2; x) &= B_n(t^2, x) - 2xB_n(t, x) + x^2 B_n(1, x) \\
&= e^{-nx} \left(\frac{1}{n} + n(n-1)(qx)\right) - 2xe^{-nx} qx + e^{-nx} x^2 \\
&= e^{-nx} x \left(\frac{q}{n} + n(n-1)xq^2 - 2xq + x\right) \\
&= \Phi(x). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

3. CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR BERNSTEIN OPERATORS

Theorem 3.1. *If a function $f \in C[0, 1]$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ then there is an existence of N such that*

$$|f(x) - B_n^{p,q}(f; x)| < \epsilon,$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \geq N$.

Proof. We know that the inequality

$$\left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2 = \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)x + x^2 \quad (3)$$

both sides of equation (3) with the sum of $k = 0$ to n , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx^k] \\ &= B_n^{p,q}(t^2; x) - 2xB_n^{p,q}(t; x) + x^2 B_n^{p,q}(1; x) \\ &= B_n^{p,q}\left((t-x)^2; x\right) = e^{-nx} x \left(\frac{q}{n} + n(n-1)xq^2 - 2xq + x\right) \end{aligned}$$

By using above lemma

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx^k] = e^{-nx} x \left(\frac{q}{n} + n(n-1)xq^2 - 2xq + x\right)$$

Now we select a number $\delta > 0$ and if S_δ is a set for all values of k and holds $|\frac{k}{n} - x| \geq \delta$ then

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x^2\right) \geq 1$$

hence

$$\sum_{k \in S_\delta} \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{k \in S_\delta} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k$$

Since $0 \leq e^{-nx} \cdot qx \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on $[0, 1]$ then we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k \leq \frac{1}{2n\delta^2} \quad (4)$$

then we can write

$$\sum_{k=0}^n = \sum_{k \in S_\delta} + \sum_{k \notin S_\delta}$$

Now we can write the difference between $f(x)$ and $B_n^{p,q}(f; x)$ we have

$$f(x) - B_n^{p,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n n \left(f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - B_n^{p,q}(f; x) &= \sum_{k \in S_\delta} \left(f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k + \\ & \sum_{k \notin S_\delta} \left(f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - B_n^{p,q}(f; x)| &= \sum_{k \in S_\delta} |f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)| \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k + \\ & \sum_{k \notin S_\delta} |f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)| \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We know $f \in C[0, 1]$ and it is a bounded function, so $|f(x)| \leq M$ where $M > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)| \leq 2M, \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma_{k \in S_\delta} |f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})| \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k \\ & \leq 2M \Sigma_{k \in S_\delta} \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k \end{aligned}$$

by equation (4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma_{k \in S_\delta} |f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})| \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k \\ & \leq 2M \frac{1}{2n\delta^2} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since function f is a continuous function and uniformly continuous also, then $\forall \epsilon > 0$ then there exists $\delta > 0$ that depends on ϵ and f such that

$$|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall x, y \in [0, 1]$$

then for $k \notin S_\delta$ so

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma_{k \notin S_\delta} |f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})| \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^k e^{-nx} [nx]^k < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

by equation (6) and (7) we get

$$|f(x) - B_n^{p,q}(f; x)| < \frac{M}{2n\delta^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

we choosing $N > \frac{M}{\epsilon\delta^2}$ so

$$|f(x) - B_n^{p,q}(f; x)| < \epsilon, \forall n \geq N.$$

□

3.1. Korovkin type theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *If f is a function that is continuous on $[0, 1]$ and $0 < q < p \leq 1$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $B_n^{p,q}(f, x) \rightarrow f(x)$ converges uniformly on $C[0, 1]$.*

Proof. Since $[n + s] \rightarrow \infty$ when $s = 1, 2, 3$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then it is easily seen that $B_n^{p,q}(e_k; x) \rightarrow e^k$ or x^k where $k = 0, 1, 2$ and using the identity $[n + s]_{p,q} = S_{p,q} p^n + q^s [n]_{p,q}$ when $s = 0, 1, 2$. So we get our results due to the famous Korovkin's theorem. □

4. RATE OF CONVERGENCE

In this section we will study a rate of convergence. If $f \in C[0, 1]$, then the modulus of continuity of function f such as

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{|t-x| < \delta, (x,t) \in [0,1]} |f(x) - f(t)|$$

and the Lipschitz maximal type functions of order λ as follows.

$$\widehat{\omega}_\lambda(f, \delta) = \lim_{t \neq x, (x,t) \in [0,1]} \frac{|f(t) - f(x)|}{|t - x|^\lambda}, 0 < \lambda \leq 1$$

$$\omega_2(f, \delta) = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} |f(x + 2h) - 2f(x + h) + f(x)| \quad \text{where } x, x + h, x + 2h \in [0, 1]$$

Also, for a positive constant M , a Lipschitz function is one that is $f \in Lip_M(\phi)$ with $0 < \phi \leq 1$. Then

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq M|t - x|^\phi, \quad \forall t, x \in [0, 1].$$

And Peetre K —functional as

$$K_2(f, \delta) = \inf_{g \in W^2} \{ \|f - g\| + \delta \|g''\| \}$$

where

$$W^2 = \{g \in C[0, 1]; g', g'' \in C[0, 1]\}$$

then existence of positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$K_2(f, \delta) \leq C\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}) \quad , d > 0.$$

Theorem 4.1. For $f \in Lip_M(\phi)$ and $0 < q < p \leq 1$ and $n > 1$ then

$$|B_n^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M \left(\Psi(n, p, q) \right)^\phi$$

where $\Psi = B_n^{p,q}(|t - x|; x)$.

Proof. For $f \in Lip_M(\phi)$ and $B_n^{p,q}(f; x)$ both are positive linear operators, then by Hölder’s inequality, we get

$$|B_n^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq B_n^{p,q}(|f(t) - f(x)|; x) \leq MB_n^{p,q}(|t - x|^\phi; x)$$

If $\phi = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq MB_n^{p,q}(|t - x|; x) \\ |B_n^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq M\Psi(n, p, , q)^\phi \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved. □

5. DIRECT ESTIMATES

Theorem 5.1. ([8]). If $f \in C[0, 1]$ then

$$|B_n^{p,q}(f(t) - f(x); x)| \leq 2\omega(f, \delta)$$

where

$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{B_n^{p,q}(t - x)^2; x}$$

Proof. By using Popoviciu’s technique

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \omega(f, \delta) \left(\frac{|t - x|}{\delta} + 1 \right), \quad \forall \delta > 0. \tag{8}$$

and also using linearity and monotonicity of the operator $D_n^{p,q}(f; x)$ we get

$$|B_n^{p,q}(f(t) - f(x)); x| \leq B_n^{p,q}(|f(t) - f(x)|; x) \tag{9}$$

by (8) and (9) we have

$$|B_n^{p,q}(f(t) - f(x)); x| \leq \omega(f, \delta) \left(\frac{B_n^{p,q}(|t - x|; x)}{\delta} + 1 \right)$$

Now by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the lemma for central moments, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n^{p,q}(f(t) - f(x)); x| &\leq \omega(f, \delta) \left(\frac{B_n^{p,q}(|t-x|^2; x)^{1/2}}{\delta} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \omega(f, \delta) \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\delta} + 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

if we take $\lambda_n = \delta$ then

$$\leq 2\omega(f, \delta) \quad \text{So proved.}$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *If $f \in C[0, 1]$ then we have*

$$|B_n^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega^2(f; \delta_n^2(x)) + \omega(f, \frac{1}{n})$$

Proof. By lemma of central moments we get. Let

$$B_n^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x) \leq \delta_n(x)$$

and assume that

$$E_n^{p,q}(f; x) = f(x) - f\left(x + B_n^{p,q}(t-x; x)\right)$$

and

$$H_n^{p,q}(f; x) = E_n^{p,q} + B_n^{p,q}(f; x)$$

then we get

$$|E_n^{p,q}(f; x)| \leq \omega\left(f; B_n^{p,q}(t-x; x)\right) \leq \omega\left(f; \frac{1}{[n]}\right).$$

Now using Taylor's formula, we get

$$g(t) = g(x) + g'(x)(t-x) + \int_x^t (t-x)g''(u)du$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^{p,q}(g; x) - g(x) &= g'(x)\left(H_n^{p,q}(t-x; x)\right) + H_n^{p,q}\left(\int x^t(t-u)g''(u)du; x\right) \\ &= B_n^{p,q}\left(\int x^t(t-u)g''(u)du; x\right) - \int_x^{x+B_n^{p,q}(t-x; x)} \left(x + B_n^{p,q}(t-x; x) - u\right)g''(u)du \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_n^{p,q}(g; x) - g(x)| &\leq |B_n^{p,q}\left(\int x^t(t-u)g''(u)du; x\right)| \\ &\quad + \left|\int_x^{x+B_n^{p,q}(t-x; x)} \left(x + B_n^{p,q}(t-x; x) - u\right)g''(u)du\right| \\ &\leq \|g''\| B_n^{p,q}\left((t-x)^2; x\right) + \left(x + B_n^{p,q}(t-x; x) - u\right)^2 \|g''\| \\ &\leq \delta_n^2 \|g''\| \end{aligned}$$

also we get

$$|H_n^{p,q}(f; x)| \leq |B_n^{p,q}(f; x)| + 2\|f\| \leq 3\|f\|$$

so

$$|B_n^{p,q} - f(x)| \leq |H_n^{p,q}(f-g; x) - (f-g)(x)| + |f\left(B_n^{p,q}(t-x; x)\right) - f(x)| + |H_n^{p,q} - g(x)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq |H_n^{p,q}(f - x; x)| + |(f - g)(x)|_{p,q} + |f\left(B_n^{p,q}(t - x); x\right) - f(x)| + |H_n^{p,q}(g; x) - g(x)| \\ &\leq 4\|f - g\| + \omega(f; \delta) + \delta_n^2(x)\|g''\| \end{aligned}$$

taking infimum on RHS and we know $g \in W^2$ and using Peetre K- functional so

$$|B_n^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega^2(f; \delta_n^2(x)) + \omega(f; \delta).$$

proved □

5.1. Monotonicity for convex function. In 2016 proved the monotonicity for (p, q)- Bernstein operators by Kang [16]. Now we shall study the monotonicity of the (p, q) Bernstein operators using the gamma function.

Definition 5.3. A function $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ is said to be convex if *forall* $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ then

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

Example 5.4.

- (1). $f(x) = e^{ax}$
- (2). $f(x) = \text{Sin}(\phi x)$

The function $f(x) = e^{ax}$ exhibits convexity and monotonicity, as its values increase or decrease in correspondence with the behavior of $f(x)$. This property is similar to the second example, which depends on the value of $\phi = 1$ and is restricted to the interval $x \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Theorem 5.5. *If $f \in C[0, 1]$ is a convex function, then $B_n^{p,q}(f; x) \geq f(x), \forall x \in [0, 1], \forall n \in N$ and $0 < q < p \leq 1$.*

Proof. We know that $f \in C[0, 1]$ is a bounded function on $[0, 1]$. and $|f| \leq M$ for $M > 0$ then we may write by using lemma $B_n^{p,q} > 0$ so we can

$$f(x) \leq B_n^{p,q}(f; x).$$

with alternating proof is that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } x_k = [t] \quad \text{and } \lambda_k &= \binom{n}{k} q^k p^{-k} e^{-nx} [nx]^k \\ B_n^{p,q}(f; x) &= [n] \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \Gamma(k + 1) f(x_k) \\ &\geq f\left([n] \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \Gamma(k + 1)(x_k)\right) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$B_n^{p,q}(f; x) \geq f(x).$$

Condition for Monotonicity on $[0, 1]$: The generalized Bernstein operators with the polynomial $b_{n,k}(f; x)$ will be monotonically increasing on $[0, 1]$ for $k \geq 1$. □

5.2. Voronovskaja type theorem. We present a significant quantitative Voronovskaja-type theorem in this section. Holhas also provided the identical first derivation theorem for

(p, q) -Bernstein operators [14], utilizing the smoothness modulus of Ditzian-Totik of the first order.

Theorem 5.6. *For any $f \in C[0, 1]$, then the inequality holds:*

$$\|B_n^{p,q} - f(x) - e^{-nx}\phi(x)f''(x)\| \leq Ce^{-nx}f''(x)\phi(x).$$

Proof. Since $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $t, x \in [0, 1]$. We know Taylor expansion, then we get:

$$f(t) - f(x) = (t - x)f'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du$$

therefore

$$f(t) - f(x) - (t - x)f'(x) = \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du - \int_x^t (t - u)f''(x)du = \int_x^t (t - u)[f''(u) - f''(x)]du.$$

By using lemma 0.0.2 and 0.1.1 : we get

$$\|B_n^{p,q} - f(x) - e^{-nx}\phi(x)f''(x)\| \leq B_n^{p,q} \left(\left| \int_x^t |t - u| |f''(u) - f''(x)| du \right|; x \right) \tag{A}$$

$\left| \int_x^t |t - u| |f''(u) - f''(x)| du \right|$ was given by [11] page -337. as follows

$$\left| \int_x^t |t - u| |f''(u) - f''(x)| du \right| \leq 2 \|f'' - g\| (t - x)^2 = 2 \|\phi g'\| \|\phi^{-x}\| |t - x|^3 \tag{B}$$

Where $g \in W_\phi[0, 1]$ for all $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $0 < q \leq p \leq 1$ there exist a constant $C_m > 0$.

$$\|B_n^{p,q}((t - x)^m; x)\| \leq C_m \phi(x) e^{-nx} \tag{C}$$

Where $x \in [0, 1]$ and C is constant. Now combine (A), (B), and (C) with lemma 2.1

Then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get is used by Kang[16].

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n^{p,q} - f(x) - e^{-nx}\phi(x)f''(x)\| &\leq 2 \|f'' - g\| B_n^{p,q} \left(|t - x|^2; x \right) + 2 \|\phi g'\| \|\phi^{-x}\| B_n^{p,q} \left(|t - x|^3; x \right) \\ &\leq 2 \|f'' - g\| \|\phi^x\| e^{-nx} + 2 \|\phi g'\| \|\phi^{-x}\| \left(B_n^{p,q} |t - x|^2; x \right)^{1/2} \left(B_n^{p,q} |t - x|^4; x \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2 \|f'' - g\| \|\phi^x\| e^{-nx} + 2C \|\phi g'\| e^{-nx} \phi(x) \\ &\quad C e^{-nx} \phi(x) \left(\|f'' - g\| + \|\phi g''\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(x) \leq 3$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$\|B_n^{p,q} - f(x) - e^{-nx}\phi(x)f''(x)\| \leq 3C \phi(x) e^{-nx} \left(\|f'' - g\| + \|\phi g''\| \right)$$

Then finally we get

$$\|B_n^{p,q} - f(x) - e^{-nx}\phi(x)f''(x)\| \leq Ce^{-nx}f''(x)\phi(x).$$

Hence Proved. □

6. CONCLUSIONS

This paper introduces a new modification of (p, q) -Bernstein operators. Using these operators, we propose and prove approximation properties for a new class of gamma functions in

(p, q) - calculus. We also studied Korvkin's theorem, direct estimates, and the rate of convergence through Peetre's K -functional and also proved the convergence theorem for Lipschitz functions of continuous functions. We provide a proof of Voronovskaja's theorem using the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness and get flexible results for those theorems.

Acknowledgments

The authors express gratitude to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bala and V. Mishra, *Generalized (k, t) -Narayana Sequence*, Journal of Indonesian Mathematical Society, **30** (2024), 121-138.
- [2] V.A. Baskakov, *An instance of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions*, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR RUS ENG Journals, **113** (1957), 249-251.
- [3] S.N. Bernstein, *Demonstration du theoreme de Weierstrass fondee sur le calcul des probabilites*, Communication of the Kharkov Mathematical Society, **13** (1912), 1-2.
- [4] B.F. Vajargah and A. Nouraldin, *A new hybrid method for data analysis when a significant percentage of data is missing*, Journal of Hyperstructures, **13** (2024), 297-304.
- [5] Q.B. Cai, B. Cekim, K. Kanat and M. Sofyalioglu, *Some approximation results for the new modification of Bernstein beta operators*, AIMS Mathematics, **7** (2022), 1831-1844.
- [6] N. Deo, M. Noor and M.A. Siddiqui, *On approximation by a class of new Bernstein type operators*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **201** (2008), 604-612.
- [7] D.J. Bhatt, R.K. Jana and V.N. Mishra, *On a new class of Bernstein type operators based on beta function*, Khayyam Journal of Mathematics, **6** (2020), 1-15.
- [8] Z. Ditzian, *Direct estimate for Bernstein polynomials*, Journal of Approximation Theory, **79** (1994), 165-166.
- [9] J.L. Durrmeyer, *Une formule d'inversion de la transformee de Laplace: Applications la theorie des moments*, Faculte des Sciences de I university de Paris, **38** (1967).
- [10] Z. Finta, *Remark on Voronovskaja theorem for q Bernstein operators*, Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai, **56** (2011), 335-339.
- [11] Z. Finta, *A pproximation properties of (p, q) -Bernstein type operators*, Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica, **8** (2016), 222-232.
- [12] V. Gupta, *Some approximation properties on q - Durrmeyer operators*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **197** (2008), 172-178.
- [13] V. Gupta, A.J.L. Moreno and J.M.L. Palacios, *Simultaneous approximation of the Bernstein- Durrmeyer operators*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **213** (2009), 112-120.
- [14] A. Holhas, *A Voronovskaja type theorem for the first derivative of positive linear operator*, Results in Mathematics, **74** (2009), 1-13.
- [15] P. Agrawal, S.Araci and A.Kajla. (2019). *A kantorovich variant of a generalized Bernstein operators*, Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, **19**, 86-96. [https://doi.org/ 10.22436/jmcs.019.02.03](https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.019.02.03).
- [16] S.M. Kang, *Some approximation properties of (p, q) Bernstein operators*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, **169** (2016), 1-10.
- [17] G. Mirakayan, *Approximation des fonction continues au moyen polynomes de la forme*, Doklady Akaldemii Nauk, **31** (1941), 201-205.
- [18] K.J. Ansari, A. Khan and Mursaleen *On (p, q) analogue of Bernstein operators*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **266** (2015), 874-882.
- [19] G.M. Phillips, *Bernstein polynomials based on the (q) -integers*, Annals of Numerical Mathematics, **4** (1997), 511-518.
- [20] H. Sharma, *On Durrmeyer type generalization of (p, q) -Bernstein operators*, Arabian Journal of Mathematics, **5** (2016), 239-248.

- [21] K. Kanat and L.T. Su, *Approximation by bivariate Bernstein-Kantorovich-Stancu operators that reproduce exponential functions*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, **6** (2024), 1-13.