



Research Paper

SOME RESULTS ON DOMINATION IN ANNIHILATING-IDEAL GRAPHS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

REZA TAHERI¹

¹Department of Mathematics, National University of Skills (NUS), Tehran, Iran rtahery592@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received: 19 August 2024
Accepted: 28 April 2025
Communicated by Alireza Naghipour

Keywords:
Annihilating-ideal
Dominated set
Domination number
Total dominating set
Semi-total dominating set

MSC:
16D25; 16Y20

ABSTRACT

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let $\mathbb{A}(R)$ be the set of all ideals of R with non-zero annihilators. The annihilating-ideal graph of R is defined as the graph $\mathbb{AG}(R)$ with the vertex set $\mathbb{A}^*(R) = \mathbb{A}(R) \setminus \{(0)\}$ and two distinct vertices I and J are adjacent if and only if $IJ = (0)$. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A dominating set for G is a subset S of V such that every vertex not in S is joined to at least one member of S by some edge. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality among the dominating sets of G . In this paper, we study and characterize the dominating sets and domination numbers of the annihilating-ideal graph $\mathbb{AG}(R)$ for a commutative ring R .

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of algebraic structures using the properties of graphs has been an exciting research topic in the last twenty years. There are many papers on assigning a graph to a ring, for instance see [3-18, 20]. Throughout this paper, all rings are assumed to be commutative with unity. For a ring R , we denote by $Z(R)$, $\text{Spec}(R)$, $\text{Min}(R)$ and $\text{Ass}(R)$, the set of all zero-divisors of R , the set of all prime ideals of R , the set of all minimal prime ideals of R and the set of all associated prime ideals of R , respectively. A ring R is said to be

*Address correspondence to Reza Taheri; Department of Mathematics, National University of Skills (NUS), Tehran, Iran, Email: rtahery592@gmail.com.

reduced, if it has no non-zero nilpotent elements or equivalently $\bigcap_{P \in \text{Min}(R)} P = (0)$. A subset S of a commutative ring R is called a *multiplicative closed subset (m.c.s)* of R , if $1 \in S$ and $a, b \in S$ implies that $ab \in S$. If S is an *m.c.s* of R , then we denote by R_S , the ring of fractions of R . An ideal I of R is called *annihilating-ideal* if there exists a non-zero ideal J of R such that $IJ = (0)$. We use the notation $\mathbb{A}(R)$ for the set of annihilating-ideals of R . By the *annihilating-ideal graph* $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ of R , we mean the graph with vertices $\mathbb{A}^*(R) = \mathbb{A}(R) \setminus \{(0)\}$ with two distinct vertices I and J adjacent if and only if $IJ = (0)$. Consequently, $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is the empty graph if and only if R is an *integral-domain*. The concept of the annihilating-ideal graph of a commutative ring was first introduced in [12]. Recently, set notion of the annihilating-ideal graph has been extensively studied by various authors (see for instance [1-6]).

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph with vertex set V and edge set E . We denote the degree of a vertex v in G by $d(v)$. In addition, $N_G(v)$ called the *open neighborhood* of v in G , denoted the set of vertices of G which are adjacent to the vertex v of G and the *closed neighborhood* of v , $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. Also, for any set $S \subseteq V(G)$, the *open neighborhood* of S , $N_G(S)$ is defined to be $\bigcup_{v \in S} N_G(v)$ and the *closed neighborhood* of S is $N_G[S] = N_G(S) \cup S$. A set $S \subseteq V$ of vertices in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is called a *dominating set* if every vertex not in S is joined to at least one member of S by some edge, or equivalently, $N_G[S] = V(G)$. The minimum cardinality of a dominating set in G is called the *domination number* of G and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. In addition, each dominating set of minimum cardinality is called a γ -set of G . Also, a *total dominating set* of a graph G is a set S of vertices of G such that every vertex is adjacent to a vertex in S , or equivalently $N_G(S) = V$. The *total domination number* of G , denoted by $\gamma_t(G)$. We call a dominating set of cardinality $\gamma_t(G)$ a γ_t -set. A *semi-total dominating set* in $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{A}^*(R)$ such that S is a dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and for any $I \in S$ there is a vertex $J \in S$ (not necessarily distinct) such that $IJ = (0)$. The *semi-total domination number* $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is the minimum cardinality of a semi-total dominating set in $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. It is clear that for every ring R , $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$. A *clique* of a graph is a complete subgraph and the number of vertices in a largest clique of graph G , denoted by $\omega(G)$, is called the *clique number* of G . For a graph G , let $\chi(G)$ denote the *chromatic number* of G , i.e, the minimal number of colors which can be assigned to the vertices of G in such a way that any two adjacent vertices have different colors. A dominating set S is said to be a *clique dominating set*, if the induced subgraph $\langle S \rangle$ is a clique. The *clique domination number* $\gamma_{cl}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of clique dominating set of G . Recall that graph G is connected, if there is a path between every two distinct vertices. For distinct vertices x and y of G , let $d(x, y)$ be the length of the shortest path from x to y and if there is no such path we define $d(x, y) = \infty$. The *diameter* of G is $\text{diam}(G) = \text{Sup}\{d(x, y), x \text{ and } y \text{ are distinct vertices of } G\}$. A graph with n vertices and no edge is denoted by N_n .

In [16], Nikanish and Maimani studied dominating sets of the annihilating-ideal graphs. The purpose of this paper is to general study on properties of dominating sets and domination numbers of the annihilating-ideal graphs of commutative rings. The organization of this paper is as follows:

In section 2, we discuss some basic properties and example of dominating sets of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, for instants, we show that for each Artinian ring R , $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq |\text{Min}(R)|$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$

is finite (see Proposition 2.5). Also, if $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ is finite, then $Z(R) = \cup_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}(I_i)$, where I_i s are ideals of R and the converse is also true if $\text{Ann}(I_i) \in \text{Spec}(R)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, consequently for every Noetherian ring R , $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) < \infty$ (see Proposition 2.9). In Theorem 2.16, it is shown that if R is a Noetherian ring, then $\gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)), \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \in \{1, 2, n\}$, where n is number of maximal element in $\text{Ass}(R)$. Also, if R is a ring, where $\text{Max}(R)$ is a finite set and for each $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Max}(R)$, $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_{\mathcal{M}})) < \infty$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ is finite (see Theorem 2.12).

In section 3, we investigate domination numbers of the annihilating-ideal graph of ring R , where R is a direct product of some rings. For instance, we show that, if R is an Artinian ring such that $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1, F_2 are fields, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = n \leq \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$, where n is number of summands in a decomposition of R to local rings (see Proposition 3.3). In Proposition 3.5, it is shown that if R is a ring which is not integral domain and F is a field, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(F \times R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) + 1$. Finally, in Theorem 3.6, we show that, if $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where R_1, R_2 are two non-zero rings and $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) = m$, $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)) = n$, where $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)$ and $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)$ not empty. Then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \in \{1, 2, m + 1, n + 1, n + m\}$.

2. SOME BASIC PROPERTIES OF DOMINATING SETS OF $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$

In this section we review some of the standard facts on domination numbers of the annihilating-ideal graphs. First we begin with the following example which is a direct result of [12] Proposition 1.3, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.2, respectively.

Example 2.1.

- (1) Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be an Artinian local ring. Then it is clear that for each $I \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$, $(\text{Ann}\mathcal{M})I = (0)$ and $(\text{Ann}\mathcal{M})^2 = (0)$. Thus $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$ and $\gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$.
- (2) Let R be a ring, where $Z(R)$ is an ideal of R such that $(Z(R))^2 = (0)$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$ and $\gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$.
- (3) Let R be a ring. Then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$ if and only if either $R = F \times D$, where F is field and D is an integral domain or $Z(R) = \text{Ann}(x)$, for some $0 \neq x \in R$.

Example 2.2. The correctness of this example follows immediately from [13, Corollary 2.4] and [1, Theorem 2.3, corollary 11], respectively.

- (1) Let R be a ring such that $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R) \cong K_{n,m}$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.
- (2) Let R be a ring and $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ be a tree, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$.
- (3) Let R be a ring such that $|\text{Min}(R)| = 1$. If $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is a bipartite graph, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.

Let R be a ring. The spectrum graph of R , denoted by $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$, is the graph whose vertices are the set $\mathbb{A}_s(R) = \mathbb{A}^*(R) \cap \text{Spec}(R)$ with distinct vertices P and Q adjacent if and only if $PQ = (0)$ (see [19]). The following propositions and theorems gives some properties of domination numbers of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ via $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring. If $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$ is a connected graph, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$ is a connected graph, by [19, Theorem 3.7], $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_1, K_2$ or $K_{1,\infty}$. If $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_1$ or $K_{1,\infty}$, then by [19, Proposition 3.2], there exists a vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ which

is adjacent to every other vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$. If $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_2$, then by [19, Proposition 3.6], $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is a complete bipartite graph and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that R is a Noetherian ring such that $|\text{Min}(R)| = 1$ and $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \not\cong N_2$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$ is a connected graph.
- (2) $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.
- (3) $Z(R) = \text{Ann}x$, for some $0 \neq x \in R$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$ is a connected graph and $|\text{Min}(R)| = 1$. By the same argument in previous proposition, if $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_1$ or $K_{1,\infty}$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$. If $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_2$, then $|\text{Min}(R)| = 1$ and [19, Proposition 3.6] implies that $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is an star graph and $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose that $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$, then by Example 2.1, either $R = F \times D$, where F is field and D is an integral domain or $Z(R) = \text{Ann}x$, for some $0 \neq x \in R$, since $|\text{Min}(R)| = 1$, we can conclude that $Z(R) = \text{Ann}x$, where $0 \neq x \in R$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that $Z(R) = \text{Ann}x$, for some $0 \neq x \in R$, so Rx is a vertex in $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, which is adjacent to every other vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. If $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_2$, then there is nothing to proof. So we may assume that $|\mathbb{A}_s(R)| \neq 2$, thus by [19, Proposition 3.2], there is a vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$ which is adjacent to every other vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$. Therefore, $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)$ is a connected graph. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be an Artinian ring. Then*

$$\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq |\text{Min}(R)|.$$

Proof. Since R is an Artinian ring. Then by [19, Theorem 3.10], $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_1$, $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_2$ or $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong N_n$, where $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_1$, thus R is an Artinian local ring and hence $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1 = |\text{Min}(R)|$ (see Example 2.1 (1)). Now assume that $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_2$, so $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1, F_2 are fields, thus $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2 = |\text{Min}(R)|$. Finally assume that $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong N_n$, where $n \geq 2$ and $V(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)) = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$. In this case, $|\text{Min}(R)| = n$. Since $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is a connected graph (see [19, Theorem 2.1]) and $P_i P_j \neq (0)$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, there exists ideal $I_i \in \mathbb{A}^*(R) \setminus \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $I_i P_i = (0)$. For each P_i , select one I_i and let $\mathbf{X} = \{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$. It is clear that $|\mathbf{X}| \leq n = |\text{Min}(R)|$. We claim that \mathbf{X} is a semi-total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Assume that $J \in \mathbb{A}^*(R) \setminus (\mathbf{X} \cup V(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R)))$, then by [19, Proposition 3.1], $J \subseteq P_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore $I_i J = (0)$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq |\mathbf{X}| = n = |\text{Min}(R)|$. Now assume that $I \in \mathbf{X}$, so there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $I P_i = (0)$. Let $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$, so $I \subseteq P_j$. On the other hand there exists $J \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $J P_j = (0)$, if $I = J$, then $I^2 = (0)$, otherwise $I J = (0)$, therefore \mathbf{X} is a semi-total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and hence $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq |\text{Min}(R)| = n$. \square

Corollary 2.6. *For every Artinian ring R , $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ is finite.*

Let R be an Artinian ring, the following proposition gives a relationship between chromatic number, clique number and diameter of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, with $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be an Artinian ring. Then*

- (1) *If $\chi(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.*

- (2) If $\omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.
 (3) If $\text{diam}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\chi(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$, since $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is a connected graph (see [12, Theorem 2.1]), $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R) \cong K_1$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$. Now assume that $\chi(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2$. By [13, Corollary 2.4], either $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$ or R is a local ring. In every cases, $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.

(2) If $\omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$, then by [12, Theorem 2.1], $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R) \cong K_1$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$. Now assume that $\omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2$, so $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is a triangle-free graph and hence [2, Corollary 2.5] implies that $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is a bipartite graph. So by [13, Corollary 2.4], $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.

(3) If $\text{diam}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 0$ or 1 , then it is clear that $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$. Assume that $\text{diam}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2$. By [19, Theorem 4.2], $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_s(R) \cong K_1$ and hence $Z(R) = \text{Ann}x$, where $0 \neq x \in R$ (see [19, Corollary 3.3]). Therefore $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$. \square

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 2.7 (1), (2) are not hold.

Example 2.8. Let $R = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[X]}{(X^5)}$. Then R is an Artinian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathcal{M} = (X)$ and $\mathbb{A}^*(R) = \{(X), (X^2), (X^3), (X^4)\}$, therefore $\{(X^4)\}$ is a dominating set of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$, but $\chi(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 3 = \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$.

In the following results, we characterize when $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ is finite.

Proposition 2.9. *Let R be a ring. If $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ is finite, then $Z(R) = \cup_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}(I_i)$, where I_i s are ideals of R . The converse is also true if $\text{Ann}(I_i) \in \text{Spec}(R)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = m < \infty$ and $\mathbf{X} = \{J_1, \dots, J_m\}$ be a dominating set of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Assume that $I \in \mathbb{A}^*(R) \setminus \mathbf{X}$, then, there is $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $I \subseteq \text{Ann}(J_j)$ and hence $Z(R) = \left(\cup_{i=1}^m \text{Ann}(J_i) \right) \cup \left(\cup_{i=1}^m J_i \right)$. On the other hand $J_j \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$ implies that $J_j \subseteq \text{Ann}J$ for some ideal J of R . Therefore $Z(R) = \cup_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}(I_i)$, where I_i is an ideal of R . Now assume that $Z(R) = \cup_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}(I_i)$, where I_i s are ideals of R and $\text{Ann}(I_i) \in \text{Spec}(R)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathbf{X} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$, we claim that \mathbf{X} is a dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Let $J \in \mathbb{A}^*(R) \setminus \mathbf{X}$. Since $J \subseteq Z(R) = \cup_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}(I_i)$, by Prime Avoidance Theorem [18, Theorem 3.61], $J \subseteq \text{Ann}(I_i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and hence $J I_i = (0)$, so $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) < \infty$. \square

Corollary 2.10. *For every Noetherian ring R , $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) < \infty$.*

Proof. Assume that R is a Noetherian ring. By [18, Corollary 9.36], $Z(R) = \cup_{P \in \text{Ass}(R)} P$. Since R is a Noetherian ring, $|\text{Ass}(R)| < \infty$ and hence $Z(R) = \cup_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}(R x_i)$, where $x_i \in R$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore by Proposition 2.6, $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) < \infty$. \square

The following theorem shows that if R is a semilocal ring (i.e. R has only finitely many maximal ideals) and for each maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of R , $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_{\mathcal{M}}))$ is finite, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ is finite. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.11. [2, Lemma 10] *Let R be a ring and I, J be two non-trivial ideals of R . If for each $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Max}(R)$, $I_{\mathcal{M}} = J_{\mathcal{M}}$, then $I = J$.*

Theorem 2.12. *Let R be a ring, $\text{Max}(R)$ is a finite set and for each $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Max}(R)$, $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_{\mathcal{M}})) < \infty$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) < \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Max}(R)$ is a finite set and $\text{Max}(R) = \{\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n\}$. By contrary suppose that $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \infty$ and $\mathbf{X} = \{J_1, J_2, \dots\}$ is a infinite dominating set of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. For ideal \mathcal{M}_1 , let $\mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{M}_1} = \{(J_1)_{\mathcal{M}_1}, (J_2)_{\mathcal{M}_1}, \dots\}$. Assume that $I_{\mathcal{M}_1} \in \mathbb{A}^*(R_{\mathcal{M}_1})$, then $I \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$ and there is $J_t \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $IJ_t = (0)$, so $I_{\mathcal{M}_1}(J_t)_{\mathcal{M}_1} = (0)$, and hence $\mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ is a dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_{\mathcal{M}_1})$, since $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_{\mathcal{M}_1})) < \infty$, there exists infinite subset $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $i, j \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $(J_i)_{\mathcal{M}_1} = (J_j)_{\mathcal{M}_1}$. Since $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_{\mathcal{M}_2})) < \infty$, by same argument there exists $\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $i, j \in \mathcal{A}_2$, $(J_i)_{\mathcal{M}_2} = (J_j)_{\mathcal{M}_2}$. By continuing this procedure, there exists infinite subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{M}_t for $1 \leq t \leq n$, $(J_i)_{\mathcal{M}_t} = (J_j)_{\mathcal{M}_t}$. Lemma 2.11 implies that \mathbf{X} is a finite set, a contradiction and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) < \infty$. \square

In the next theorem, we characterize $\gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ and $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ for Noetherian ring R . First we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.13. *Let R be a ring such that $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$. Then*

$$\gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)), \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$, so there is a vertex $I \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$ such that I is adjacent to every other vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and hence by [12, Theorem 2.2], either $R = F \times D$, where F is a field and D is an integral domain or $Z(R) = \text{Ann}x$ for some $0 \neq x \in R$. If $Z(R) = \text{Ann}x$, then $I = Rx$, implies that $x^2 = 0$ and hence $S = \{I\}$ is a γ_{st} -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, so $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$ and $\gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$. Now assume that $R = F \times D$, in this case $J = F \times (0)$ is a vertex in $\mathbb{A}^*(R)$ which is adjacent to every other vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, where $J^2 \neq (0)$. Since $N(\{J\}) \cup \{J\} = \mathbb{A}^*(R)$, $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2$. \square

Corollary 2.14. *For every local ring R , if $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$, then $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$.*

Proof. It is clear with Lemma 2.13. \square

Lemma 2.15. [10, Lemma 3.6] *Let x and y be elements in R such that $\text{Ann}(Rx)$ and $\text{Ann}(Ry)$ are two distinct prime ideals of R . Then $xy = 0$.*

Theorem 2.16. *Let R be a Noetherian ring. Then*

$$\gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)), \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \in \{1, 2, n\}$$

where n is number of maximal element in $\text{Ass}(R)$.

Proof. If $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1$, then by Lemma 2.13, we have done. Then we assume that $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \neq 1$ and $\mathbf{X} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ is the set of maximal element of $\text{Ass}(R)$. By [18, Corollary 9.36], $Z(R) = \cup_{i=1}^n P_i$, where $P_i = \text{Ann}(Rx_i)$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \{Rx_i\}_{i=1}^n$. We claim that $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ is a γ_t -set and a γ_{st} -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Suppose that $I \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$, by Prime Avoidance Theorem, for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, $I \subseteq \text{Ann}(Rx_i)$ and hence $I(Rx_i) = (0)$. By Lemma 2.10 for each $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $(Rx_i)(Rx_j) = (0)$ and hence $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ is a semi-total dominating set of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Now assume that $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = m$. It is clear that $m \leq n$ and there exists $\mathbf{Y} = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^*(R)$ such that for each $J \in \mathbb{A}^*(R) \setminus \mathbf{Y}$, $J I_i = (0)$, for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, so $J \subseteq \text{Ann}(I_i)$. Also for each $I_i \in \mathbf{Y}$, $I_i \subseteq \text{Ann}(I_j)$, for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, thus $\cup_{i=1}^n P_i = Z(R) = \cup_{j=1}^m \text{Ann}(I_j)$. By Prime Avoidance Theorem, for each $1 \leq j \leq m$, there is $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\text{Ann}(I_j) \subseteq P_i$, therefore $Z(R) = \cup_{j=1}^m P_j$. Now assume that $K \in \mathbf{X}$,

then for some $1 \leq j \leq m$, $K \subseteq P_j$. Since K is maximal in $\text{Ass}(R)$, so $K = P_j$ and hence $n = |\mathbf{X}| \leq |\mathbf{Y}| = m$, therefore $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_t(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = n$. \square

We conclude this section with the following proposition.

Proposition 2.17. *Let R be a ring and S be an m.c.s of ring R containing no zero-divisors. Then $\gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_S)) \leq \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$. Moreover $\gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_S)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$, when R is a reduced ring.*

Proof. Since for each $I_S, J_S \in \mathbb{A}^*(R_S)$, where $I_S \neq J_S$ and $I_S J_S = (0)$, we have $I \neq J$ and $IJ = (0)$, so we can conclude that $\gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_S)) \geq \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$. Now assume that R is a reduced ring. We claim that for each $I, J \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$ with $I \neq J$ and $IJ = (0)$, $I_S \neq J_S$ and $I_S J_S = (0)$. By contrary suppose that for some $I, J \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$ such that $I \neq J$, we have $I_S = J_S$. Therefore $I_S^2 = I_S I_S = I_S J_S = (IJ)_S = (0)$ and hence $I_S = (0)$ a contradiction. So $\gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_S)) \leq \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$ and hence equality is hold. \square

3. DOMINATING NUMBERS OF THE ANNIHILATING-IDEAL GRAPH OF A DIRECT PRODUCT OF RINGS

In this section we investigate domination numbers of ring R , where R is a direct product of rings. We begin with the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a ring such that $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are not integral domain. Then $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) + \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2))$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) = \infty$ or $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)) = \infty$, then there is nothing to proof. Assume that $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) = m$ and $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)) = n$, where $\mathbf{A} = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{J_1, \dots, J_n\}$ are γ_{st} -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)$ and $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)$, respectively. Let $\mathbf{A}_1 = \{I \times (0); I \in \mathbf{A}\}$ and $\mathbf{B}_1 = \{(0) \times J; J \in \mathbf{B}\}$. We claim that $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}_1 \cup \mathbf{B}_1$ is a semi-total dominating set for R . Assume that $K \times L \in \mathbb{A}^*(R) \setminus \mathbf{X}$. If either $K = (0)$ or $L = (0)$, then it is clear that $K \times L$ is adjacent to a vertex in \mathbf{X} . We may assume that $K, L \neq (0)$. Suppose that $K = R_1$, since $L \in \mathbb{A}^*(R_2)$ for some $1 \leq t \leq n$, there exists $J_t \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $L J_t = (0)$. This implies that $(R_1 \times L)((0) \times J_t) = (0) \times (0)$ and hence $R_1 \times L$ is adjacent to a vertex in \mathbf{X} . For case $L = R_2$ we have a similar argument. Now assume that $K \neq (0)$, R_1 and $L \neq (0)$, R_2 . Since $K \in \mathbb{A}^*(R_1)$ for some $J_t \in \mathbf{B}$, where $1 \leq t \leq n$, $L J_t = (0)$ and $(K \times L)((0) \times J_t) = (0) \times (0)$. On the other hand it is clear that every vertex in \mathbf{X} is adjacent to a vertex in \mathbf{X} , so $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq |\mathbf{X}| = m + n$. \square

The following example shows that the converse of the Proposition 3.1 is not hold.

Example 3.2. Let $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4$, $R_2 = \mathbb{Z}_6$ and $R = R_1 \times R_2$. It is clear that $\mathbf{A} = \{(\bar{2})\}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{(\bar{2}), (\bar{3})\}$ are γ_{st} -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)$ and $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)$, respectively. Also $\mathbf{X} = \{(\bar{2}) \times (0), (0) \times (\bar{3})\}$ is a γ_{st} -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Therefore $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) = 1$, $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)) = 2$ and $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be an Artinian ring such that $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1, F_2 are fields. Then*

$$\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = n \leq \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$$

, where n is number of summands in a decomposition of R to local rings.

Proof. First assume that R is a local ring. Since R is an Artinian ring, Example 2.1 (1) implies that $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 1 = n \leq \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$. Now assume that R is an Artinian ring which is not local, by [9, Theorem 8.7], $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where $n \geq 2$ and R_i 's are Artinian local ring with maximal ideal \mathcal{M}_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is sufficient to proof for case $n = 2$ (for $n \geq 3$, we have a similar argument). Let $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$, then $\text{Max}(R) = \{\mathcal{M}_1 \times R_2, R_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2\}$. Since R is an Artinian ring by [12, Proposition 1.3], $(\mathcal{M}_1 \times R_2), (R_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2) \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$, where $(\mathcal{M}_1 \times R_2)(R_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2) \neq (0) \times (0)$. It is clear that there is nothing non-zero ideal of R which is adjacent to $\mathcal{M}_1 \times R_2$ and $R_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$. Since $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ is a connected graph (see [12, Theorem 2.1]), there are two ideals $I_1 \times (0)$ and $(0) \times J_1$ such that $I_1 \times (0) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{M}_1 \times R_2)$ and $(0) \times J_1 \subseteq \text{Ann}(R_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2)$. Now assume that $I \times J \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$, then $I \times J \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_1 \times R_2) \cap (R_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2)$, so $I \times J \subseteq \text{Ann}(I_1 \times (0)) \cap \text{Ann}((0) \times J_1)$ and hence $\mathbf{X} = \{I_1 \times (0), (0) \times J_1\}$ is a dominating set of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$. Since there is no any vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ which is adjacent to every other vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, then $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2$. Now Assume that G is a subgraph of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, such that $V(G) = \mathbf{X}$. Since G is a complete graph, \mathbf{X} is a clique dominating set and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2 = n \leq \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let R be a non-domain Artinian reduced ring. Then*

- (1) *If $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1, F_2 are fields, then $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = 2$.*
- (2) *If $R \not\cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1, F_2 are fields, then*

$$\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$$

Proof. (1) It is clear.

(2) Since R is an Artinian reduced ring, it is well known that $R \cong F_1 \times \cdots \times F_n$, where F_i 's are fields and $n \geq 3$. Let $\mathbf{X} = \{F_1 \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0), \dots, (0) \times \cdots \times F_n\}$. It is clear that \mathbf{X} is a γ -set and maximal clique for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \gamma_{cl}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = n$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let R be a ring which is not integral domain and F be a field. Then*

$$\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(F \times R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) + 1$$

Proof. Assume that $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = n$ and $\mathbf{X} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ is a γ_{st} -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. It is clear that $\mathbf{Y} = \{(0) \times I_i, I_i \in \mathbf{X}\} \cup \{F \times (0)\}$ is a dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(F \times R)$. Since $|\mathbf{Y}| = n + 1$, $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(F \times R)) \leq n + 1$. Now assume that \mathbf{A} is a γ -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(F \times R)$. Let $\mathbf{B} = \{I, (0) \times I \in \mathbf{A}\}$. We claim that \mathbf{B} is a semi-total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Assume that $J \in \mathbb{A}^*(R) \setminus \mathbf{B}$, then $F \times J \in \mathbb{A}^*(F \times R)$, so there exists $I_1 \times J_1 \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $I_1 \times J_1 \subseteq \text{Ann}(F \times J)$ and hence $I_1 = J_1 J = (0)$, thus $J_1 \in \mathbf{B}$. Therefore \mathbf{B} is a dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Now suppose that $I \in \mathbf{B}$, so $F \times I \in \mathbb{A}^*(F \times R)$, thus there is $I_1 \times J_1 \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $I_1 \times J_1 \subseteq \text{Ann}(F \times I)$, thus $I_1 = I J_1 = (0)$ and $J_1 \in \mathbf{B}$ and $I J_1 = (0)$. Therefore \mathbf{B} is a semi-total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Now we claim that $|\mathbf{B}| < |\mathbf{A}|$. By contrary suppose that $|\mathbf{A}| = |\mathbf{B}|$. It is clear that $(0) \times R \in \mathbb{A}^*(F \times R)$, but for each $I \in \mathbf{B}$, $((0) \times R)((0) \times I) \neq (0) \times (0)$, a contradiction. Therefore $|\mathbf{A}| = \gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(F \times R)) \geq |\mathbf{B}| + 1 \geq \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) + 1$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(F \times R)) = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) + 1$. \square

We conclude this paper with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are two non-zero rings such that $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) = m$, $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)) = n$. Then*

$$\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \in \{1, 2, m + 1, n + 1, n + m\}$$

Proof. We consider all cases for $\mathbb{A}^*(R_1)$ and $\mathbb{A}^*(R_2)$. First assume that $\mathbb{A}^*(R_1) = \mathbb{A}^*(R_2) = \emptyset$ and $I \times J \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$. It is clear that either, $I = (0)$ or $J = (0)$ and hence $\mathbf{X} = \{R_1 \times (0), (0) \times R_2\}$ is a dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and thus $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq 2$. Now assume that $\mathbb{A}^*(R_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{A}^*(R_2) = \emptyset$. In this case, $\mathbb{A}^*(R) = \{I \times J, I \in \mathbb{A}^*(R_1), J \text{ is an ideal of } R_2\} \cup \{(0) \times J : J \text{ is a non-zero ideal of } R\} \cup \{I \times (0), \text{ where } (0) \neq I \notin \mathbb{A}^*(R_1)\}$. Suppose that \mathbf{A} is a γ_{st} -set for R_1 and $\mathbf{B} = \{I \times (0) : I \in \mathbf{A}\} \cup \{(0) \times R_2\}$. It is clear that \mathbf{B} is a dominating set (also a semi-total dominating set) for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq |\mathbf{A}| + 1 = m + 1$. Let \mathbf{C} be a γ -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and $\mathbf{D} = \{I, I \times (0) \in \mathbf{C}\}$. We claim that \mathbf{D} is a semi-total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)$. Assume that $I \in \mathbb{A}^*(R_1)$, then $I \times R_2 \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$. Since \mathbf{C} is a semi-total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$, there exists $I_1 \times J_1 \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $I_1 \times J_1 \subseteq \text{Ann}(I \times R_2)$, so $J_1 = (0)$ and $II_1 = (0)$, then $I_1 \in \mathbf{D}$ and hence \mathbf{D} is a dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$. Let $I \in \mathbf{D}$, so $I \times R_2 \in \mathbb{A}^*(R)$ and hence there exists $L \times K \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $I \times R_2 \subseteq \text{Ann}(L \times K)$, so $K = (0)$ and $LI = (0)$, thus $L \in \mathbf{D}$ and hence \mathbf{D} is a semi-total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)$, therefore $\gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) \leq |\mathbf{D}| \leq |\mathbf{C}| = \gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R))$. If $|\mathbf{C}| = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1))$, then $\mathbf{C} = \{I \times (0) : I \in \mathbf{D}\}$ and $R_1 \times (0)$ is a vertex of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ such that for each $L \times K \in \mathbf{C}$, $(R_1 \times (0))(L \times K) \neq (0) \times (0)$, a contradiction, so $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \geq \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) + 1$ and hence $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = m + 1$. For case $\mathbb{A}^*(R_1) = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{A}^*(R_2) \neq \emptyset$, by same argument we have $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = n + 1$. Finally assume that $\mathbb{A}^*(R_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{A}^*(R_2) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that \mathbf{A} is a γ -set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{I, I \times (0) \in \mathbf{A}\}$ and $\mathbf{C} = \{J, (0) \times J \in \mathbf{A}\}$. By same argument in before case, \mathbf{B} is a semi total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)$ and \mathbf{C} is a semi-total dominating set for $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)$, consequently $m = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_1)) \leq |\mathbf{B}|$ and $n = \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R_2)) \leq |\mathbf{C}|$. Therefore $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = |\mathbf{A}| \geq |\mathbf{B}| + |\mathbf{C}| \geq m + n$. On the other hand by Proposition 3.1, $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq \gamma_{st}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \leq m + n$. Thus $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) = m + n$. Therefore in general, $\gamma(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)) \in \{1, 2, m + 1, n + 1, n + m\}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, R. Nikandish, M. J. Nikmehr and F. Shahsavari, *Minimal prime ideals and cycles in annihilating-ideal graphs*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **5** (2013), 1415-1425.
- [2] G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, R. Nikandish, M. J. Nikmehr and F. Shahsavari, *On the coloring of the annihilating-ideal graph of a commutative ring*, Discrete Math., **312** (2012), 2620-2626.
- [3] G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, M. Behboodi, R. Nikandish and M. J. Nikmehr and F. Shahsavari, *The classification of the annihilating-ideal graph of a commutative ring*, Algebra Colloquium, **21** (2014), 249-256.
- [4] F. Aliniaiefard and M. Behboodi, *Rings whose annihilating-ideal graphs have positive genus*, J. Algebra Appl., **11**, 1250049 (2012), [13 pages].
- [5] F. Aliniaiefard, M. Behboodi, E. Mehdi-Nezhad and A. M. Rahimi, *The annihilating-ideal graph of a commutative ring with respect to an ideal*, Commun. Algebra, **42** (2014), 2269-2284.
- [6] F. Aliniaiefard, M. Behboodi, E. Mehdi-Nezhad and A. M. Rahimi, *The annihilating-ideal graph of a commutative ring with respect to an ideal*, Communication in Algebra, 42(5) (2014), 2269-2284.
- [7] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *The total graph of a commutative ring*, J. Algebra, **320** (2008), 2706-2719.
- [8] D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston, *The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring*, J. Algebra, **217** (1999), 434-447.

- [9] M. F. Atiyah, I.G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, London, 1969.
- [10] I. Beak, *Coloring of commutative rings*, J. Algebra, **116**(1) (1988), 208-266.
- [11] M. Behboodi, *Zero divisor graphs for modules over commutative rings*, J. Commut. Algebra, **4** (2012), 175-197.
- [12] M. Behboodi, Z. Rakeei, *The annihilating-ideal graph of commutative rings I*, J. Algebra Appl., **10** (2011), 727-739.
- [13] M. Behboodi, Z. Rakeei, *The annihilating-ideal graph of commutative rings II*, J. Algebra Appl., **10** (2011) 740-753.
- [14] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen, *Intersection graphs of ideals of rings*, Discrete Math., **309** (2009), 5381-5392.
- [15] S. Kiani, H. R. Maimani and R. Nikandish, *Some Results On the Domination Number of a Zero-divisor Graph*, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol., **57**(3) (2014), 573-578.
- [16] R. Nikandish and H.R. Maimani, *Dominating sets of the annihilating-ideal graphs*, Electronic Notes Discrete Math., **45** (2014), 17.22.
- [17] S. P. Redmond, *An ideal-based zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring*, Comm. Algebra, **31**(9) (2003), 4425-4443.
- [18] R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in Commutative Algebra*, 2nd end., London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Vol. 51 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990).
- [19] R. Taheri, M. Behboodi and A. Tehranian, *The spectrum subgraph of the annihilating-ideal graph of a commutative ring*, J. Algebra Appl., **14** (2015) .
- [20] R. Taheri, A. Tehranian, *The principal ideal subgraph of the annihilating-ideal graph of commutative rings*, J. Algebra structures Appl., **3**(1) (2016), 39-52.